Annual Methodological Archive Research Review
http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about
Volume 3, Issue 9 (2025)

Online ISSN 3007-3197
Print ISSN 3007-3189

http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about

Exploring the Psychology of Motivation: Understanding Human Drives, Desires, and Goal-Directed Behavior

Syed Muhammad Ukkasha
Department of Eastern Medicine, Faculty of Medical sciences, Government College University Faisalabad, Pakistan
Muhammad Akram*
Department of Eastern Medicine, Government College University Faisalabad Pakistan. Corresponding Author: muhammadakram@gcuf.edu.pk
Imtiaz Mahmood Tahir
College of Allied Health Professionals, Government College University Faisalabad Pakistan
Dur-e-Shahwar
Department of Pharmacy, National excellence Institute Islamabad, Pakistan
Tehmina Rabbani
Chairperson of Pharmaceutics, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Baluchistan, Quetta, Pakistan
Sabahat Abbas
Indus Hospital and Health Network QF, NST and SMP Campus, Jubilee Town, Lahore, Pakistan
Shafqat Rasool
School of Eastern Medicine, Minhaj University Lahore, Pakistan
Iftikhar Younis Mallhi
School of Human Nutrition and Dietetics, Minhaj University Lahore, Pakistan
Arsalan Abid
Department of Nursing and Community Health, Faculty of Public Health, Glasgow Caledonian University Glasgow
Rimsha Zainab
Department of Botany, Shaheed Benazir Bhutto Women University, Peshawar, Pakistan
Sabira Sultana
Department of Eastern Medicine, Faculty of Medical Sciences Government College University Faisalabad
Hamad Ahmed
Department of Eastern Medicine, Faculty of Medical Sciences Government College University Faisalabad
Akif Saeed Ch
Director Medical Services and Research Hope Family Clinic & Rehab Faisalabad Pakistan
Fethi Ahmet Ozdemir
Department of Molecular Biology and Genetics, Faculty of Science and Art, Bingol University, Bingol, 12000, Türkiye
Gawel Solowski
Department of Molecular Biology and Genetics, Faculty of Science and Art, Bingol University, Bingol, 12000, Türkiye

Annual Methodological Archive Research Review
http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about
Volume 3, Issue 9 (2025)

Online ISSN 3007-3197
Print ISSN 3007-3189

http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about

ABSTRACT

Motivation represents a fundamental psychological construct that influences human behavior across domains ranging from basic need satisfaction to complex personal and professional goal achievement. This review synthesizes contemporary empirical findings with established theoretical frameworks to examine the current state of motivational psychology. We trace the evolution of motivational research through foundational theories including Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs, Drive Theory, and Incentive Theory, examining their contributions to our understanding of human behavior. Contemporary empirically-supported frameworks receive particular attention, including Self-Determination Theory (SDT), which delineates intrinsic and extrinsic motivational processes, and Expectancy Theory, which elucidates the cognitive mechanisms underlying goal-directed behavior. We examine how the three basic psychological needs identified by SDT—autonomy, competence, and relatedness—facilitate sustained, internalized motivation, while also analyzing the impact of social context, feedback mechanisms, and external reinforcement on individual performance and psychological well-being. The review incorporates findings from cognitive neuroscience, examining the neurobiological substrates of motivation, particularly dopaminergic reward pathways, and how environmental factors, personality variables, and emotion regulation processes modulate motivational states. We explore the bidirectional relationship between motivation and emotion, investigating how psychological conditions such as anxiety, depression, and chronic stress can either facilitate or impede motivational processes. Practical applications across educational, organizational, and clinical settings demonstrate how motivational principles can enhance learning outcomes, increase workplace engagement, and inform therapeutic interventions. We address contemporary challenges including procrastination, burnout, and motivational fatigue in digitally-mediated environments characterized by frequent interruption and divided attention. This comprehensive review synthesizes theoretical foundations with empirical evidence to provide researchers, educators, clinicians, and organizational leaders with evidence-based insights into motivational processes and their practical

Annual Methodological Archive Research Review
http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about
Volume 3, Issue 9 (2025)

Online ISSN 3007-3197
Print ISSN 3007-3189
http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about

applications. The integration of historical perspectives with current research advances our understanding of motivation as both a psychological phenomenon and a practical tool for enhancing human performance and well-being.

Keywords: Motivation, Behavioral psychology, Procrastination, Self-Determination Theory, Cognitive motivation

Introduction

Human behavior emerges from complex interactions between internal drives and external environmental factors (Feldman et al., 2011). The scientific exploration of motivation encompasses diverse theoretical perspectives, ranging from physiological models emphasizing homeostatic regulation to social cognitive frameworks highlighting self-efficacy beliefs (Bandura's social cognitive theory). This review examines contemporary understanding of motivational processes, with particular attention to Abraham Maslow's hierarchical model and its applications in educational and occupational contexts.. Motivation represents the psychological processes that initiate, direct, and sustain goal-oriented behavior. Contemporary research distinguishes between intrinsic motivation—behaviors pursued for inherent satisfaction—and extrinsic motivation—actions performed to obtain external rewards or avoid punishment (Deci & Ryan, 1985). This distinction proves crucial for understanding behavioral persistence and psychological well-being across various domains. The dynamic nature of motivational states reflects ongoing interactions between intrinsic predispositions and environmental contingencies (Amabile et al., 1993). Research demonstrates that motivational profiles remain fluid throughout development, with individuals exhibiting varying combinations of intrinsic and extrinsic orientation depending on contextual factors.

Contrary to popular assumptions about passion-driven work, empirical evidence reveals complex relationships between intrinsic interest and external compensation. The overjustification effect demonstrates that introducing extrinsic rewards for previously enjoyable activities can diminish intrinsic motivation, particular. In the absence of external reinforcement mechanisms, individuals may demonstrate reduced engagement with activities that have undergone motivational reclassification, particularly when intrinsic motivation supersedes external reward systems. Research demonstrates that when evaluative pressures within learning

Annual Methodological Archive Research Review
http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about
Volume 3, Issue 9 (2025)

Online ISSN 3007-3197
Print ISSN 3007-3189
http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about

environments are minimized and students perceive greater autonomy over their educational experience, the internalization of motivation is significantly enhanced, with appropriately challenging yet attainable objectives coupled with clear rationales for diverse learning activities serving to strengthen intrinsic motivational processes (Malone et al., 2021). Motivation encompasses the psychological forces that initiate, direct, and sustain goal-oriented behavior, with etymological roots deriving from the Latin *motivus*, indicating the activating properties inherent in psychological motivational processes (Dörnyei et al., 2000). Contemporary psychological research examines motivational forces as explanatory mechanisms for observable behavioral variations, treating motivational constructs as inferential variables deduced from behavioral responses to internal or external stimuli rather than directly measurable phenomena. Importantly, motivation functions as a performance variable, with motivational changes producing immediate behavioral consequences and individuals experiencing rapid fluctuations in task engagement based on evolving motivational states (Campbell et al., 2011). General claims that publics have poor genomic knowledge risk oversimplification, as the type and depth of understanding required varies across contexts. For instance, awareness of how a test result might shape future healthcare may be sufficient in the setting of population genomic screening, whereas individuals with a genetic condition and a family history of inheritance may need a more detailed grasp of genes, heredity, and probabilistic risk to provide fully informed consent (Pas et al., 2025). These conceptual boundaries are often blurred, leading to inconsistency in how knowledge is measured and linked to outcomes. Moreover, studies frequently omit contextual factors, limiting their relevance for implementation and public engagement. We highlight gaps in the evidence base and emphasize the particular challenge of addressing perceptions of certainty around genomics in both clinical and research environments (Pearce et al., 2024). Motivational systems are conventionally categorized into primary (innate) motives representing universal, unlearned drives such as hunger, thirst, and pain avoidance, and secondary (acquired) motives encompassing learned motivational patterns that demonstrate inter-individual variation, including achievement orientation and power motivation. An additional theoretical framework distinguishes between "push" motives originating from internal physiological or psychological states and "pull"

Annual Methodological Archive Research Review
http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about
Volume 3, Issue 9 (2025)

Online ISSN 3007-3197
Print ISSN 3007-3189
http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about

motives representing external environmental factors, with most motivational scenarios involving complex interactions between both elements, creating multifaceted motivational environments with significant implications for educational practice and clinical intervention.

Motivation

Motivation research employs diverse methodological approaches across multiple levels of analysis, with physiological investigations utilizing lesion studies involving targeted brain tissue destruction in laboratory animals, electrical and chemical brain stimulation techniques, and electroencephalographic recordings of neural activity to examine the neurobiological substrates of motivation. These physiological studies, primarily conducted on non-human animal models, have established the critical role of specific brain regions in regulating fundamental motivational drives including hunger, thirst, sexual behavior, aggression, and fear responses. Individual psychological investigations complement physiological approaches by examining motivational phenomena through case studies and behavioral analyses, seeking to establish generalizable principles regarding human motivational processes and behavioral patterns across diverse contexts. A fundamental theoretical debate within motivational science concerns the relative contributions of innate versus acquired motivational mechanisms, with historical perspectives oscillating between instinctual theories predominant prior to the 1920s and subsequent learning-based approaches that emphasized environmental determinism. Contemporary research synthesizes both perspectives, demonstrating that motivational systems exhibit species-specific patterns of genetic determination, as exemplified by the stereotyped courtship behaviors of the three-spined stickleback, while other motivational constructs such as achievement motivation appear predominantly shaped by experiential factors. Certain motivational states, including extreme shyness, emerge from complex gene-environment interactions where innate predispositions interact with specific environmental learning contexts. Philosophical frameworks further influence motivational theory development, with some theorists conceptualizing motivation as an aversive state requiring reduction, as reflected in Freudian psychoanalytic theory's emphasis on the redirection of repressed sexual energy toward socially acceptable outlets, while alternative perspectives, notably Maslow's

Annual Methodological Archive Research Review
http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about
Volume 3, Issue 9 (2025)

Online ISSN 3007-3197
Print ISSN 3007-3189
http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about

self-actualization theory, characterize motivation as an inherently positive force that promotes continued motivational engagement and personal growth.. (Güngör et al.,2011) Hutagaol, F. Y. N., & Widyaningrum, A. (2023) compared case of Andrew. When viewed through Freud's psychoanalytic framework, Andrew's identity reflects the darker and more primitive elements of his psyche, dominated by unconscious drives and impulses. His id exerts significant influence throughout the narrative, though traces of the ego and superego remain as he struggles to regulate and restrain his behavior. From Maslow's perspective, Andrew is able to meet his basic physiological needs, with only minor disruptions when subjected to medications such as sedatives or injections. However, progression to the next stage of the hierarchy—safety needs—is obstructed by his psychological instability. His inability to achieve this level is closely tied to unresolved guilt over the death of his wife, which prevents the establishment of security and stability in his life.

Behavioral Psychology

The emergence of cognitive psychology during the late 20th-century cognitive revolution significantly displaced behaviorism as the dominant explanatory framework for understanding human behavior by incorporating internal mental processes rather than focusing exclusively on observable behaviors. Behaviorism developed in the early 20th century as a scientific response to depth psychology and introspective approaches that lacked empirical testability, building upon late 19th-century principles such as Thorndike's law of effect, which established the role of consequences in behavioral reinforcement and extinction Bouton, M. E. (2024).. Watson's methodological behaviorism, formalized in 1924, rejected introspective methodologies and emphasized the measurement of observable actions and environmental events as the sole basis for understanding behavior, while subsequent developments by Skinner, Hull, and Tolman expanded these principles through systematic animal research paradigms. Psychological behaviorism, as conceptualized by Staats, distinguished itself through comprehensive human behavioral studies that recognized both universal learning principles shared with other species and uniquely human learning mechanisms, proposing that humans possess an extraordinary learning capacity that enables cumulative knowledge acquisition through the development of behavioral repertoires that facilitate subsequent learning. Skinner's

Annual Methodological Archive Research Review
http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about
Volume 3, Issue 9 (2025)

Online ISSN 3007-3197
Print ISSN 3007-3189
http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about

radical behaviorism extended Watson's framework by defining behavior as organismal functioning involving environmental interactions and incorporating covert behaviors or private events accessible only to the individual performer, a concept initially explored through Watson and Rayner's controversial Little Albert experiment (1920), which applied Pavlovian respondent conditioning to elicit fear responses in human infants (Callahan et al., 2023). Experimental investigations of aggression in operant conditioning chambers demonstrated that environmental reinforcement histories could induce aggressive behaviors in pigeons, which subsequently learned non-aggressive responses through stimulus control and discrimination training when reinforcement contingencies changed, leading Skinner to conclude that humans acquire aggressive behaviors and experience private emotional states through similar learning mechanisms as non-human animals, thereby distinguishing radical behaviorism from methodological approaches through its scientific acknowledgment of emotions, mental states, and introspective phenomena as legitimate subjects of behavioral analysis (Schneirla et al., 1996). Frantz and colleagues (2024) examined behavioral psychology through the lens of protection motivation theory (PMT), testing how U.S. adults—including both climate change deniers and acknowledgers—responded to global climate change. In line with PMT, both threat and efficacy appraisals predicted intentions to engage in mitigation behaviors, although the observed effects were modest. Importantly, the interactions differed between groups: threat perceptions appeared more influential among deniers, whereas efficacy beliefs were more predictive among acknowledgers, suggesting the two constructs are not simply additives in their effects. Incorporating measures of collective threat and efficacy added only limited explanatory power.

Moreover, attempts to shift appraisals through messaging yielded weak and inconsistent results and did not reliably alter mitigation intentions Fizaine, F., & Le Borgne, G. (2025). . Overall, the findings reinforce PMT's utility in explaining climate-related behavioral intentions across divergent belief groups, while underscoring the challenges of influencing these appraisals through communication strategies.

Annual Methodological Archive Research Review
http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about
Volume 3, Issue 9 (2025)

Online ISSN 3007-3197
Print ISSN 3007-3189

http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about

Procrastination

Contemporary analysis of procrastination reveals that commonly cited explanations such as laziness or enhanced performance under pressure represent superficial attributions that fail to account for the complex psychological mechanisms underlying avoidance behaviors. Individuals who claim to perform optimally under pressure typically lack comparative data from systematic task completion approaches, as chronic procrastinators rarely experience methodical preparation and completion cycles that would enable accurate performance assessment across different temporal conditions. While some individuals report experiencing positive physiological arousal from last-minute task completion, these retrospective accounts often occur following missed deadlines or during periods when the negative consequences of procrastination, including anxiety, stress, fatigue, and disappointment from unmet personal expectations, have been cognitively minimized or forgotten. Procrastination persists despite its association with suboptimal academic outcomes and increased failure probability because it serves as a psychological defense mechanism that protects self-concept and perceived competence. Educational environments, particularly in higher education settings characterized by continuous evaluation and high-stakes grading systems, inadvertently reinforce procrastination behaviors by creating performance anxiety that motivates avoidance rather than engagement. From a psychological standpoint, procrastination serves as a self-handicapping strategy that allows individuals to attribute potential failures externally, thereby preserving their internal sense of competence through the rationale that insufficient time, rather than inadequate ability, was the cause of poor performance.

Effective intervention necessitates a comprehensive understanding of these underlying psychological mechanisms, as superficial time management strategies fail to address the core emotional and cognitive factors that perpetuate avoidance behaviors. Research by Burka and Yuen demonstrates that therapeutic approaches focusing on the identification and modification of ingrained behavioral patterns, combined with insight into the hidden psychological roots of procrastination, enable individuals to develop sustainable strategies for overcoming chronic avoidance and establishing productive task engagement behaviors.. (Zacks et al.,2018).

Annual Methodological Archive Research Review
http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about
Volume 3, Issue 9 (2025)

Online ISSN 3007-3197
Print ISSN 3007-3189
http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about

Perseverance can be understood, at least in part, as arising from the cognitive-motivational and strategic dimensions of self-regulation. These dimensions encompass processes such as learning from mistakes, setting goals, and making informed decisions. (Elizondo et al., 2024). Building on this interpretation, psychosocial interventions that target these self-regulatory dimensions may offer a promising avenue for fostering persistence. Such approaches could help students develop the capacity to learn from errors and establish purposeful goals to overcome setbacks. Teachers also play a critical role by guiding students in formulating realistic, meaningful goals and supporting them in devising structured plans of action (Sarwar et al., 2025). Collaborative planning with both teachers and peers may further enhance students' sense of commitment and focus, ultimately strengthening their perseverance.

Self-Determination Theory

Self-determination theory posits that individuals with high levels of autonomous motivation demonstrate internal locus of control and intrinsic competence orientation, responding to academic challenges by accepting personal responsibility for outcomes and maintaining consistent behavioral patterns regardless of external approval or disapproval, as their motivation derives from inherent needs for competence and knowledge acquisition rather than external validation. Conversely, individuals exhibiting low self-determination display external attributional patterns, attributing academic difficulties to environmental factors such as inadequate instructional preparation, peer distractions, or insufficient parental support, with their concern for academic performance motivated primarily by external approval-seeking, self-esteem enhancement, or impression management rather than genuine learning engagement. This distinction extends beyond academic contexts, as authentic self-determined behavior involves engaging in activities based on anticipated personal enjoyment and intrinsic satisfaction rather than social prestige or external image considerations. Contemporary assessment of self-determination utilizes several validated psychometric instruments, including measures that evaluate motivation across seven primary goal categories encompassing financial success, fame, image, personal development, interpersonal relationships, community contribution, and physical health, with respondents rating goal importance,

Online ISSN 3007-3197
Print ISSN 3007-3189
http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about

perceived attainability, and current achievement levels. Additional instruments assess the fulfillment of three fundamental psychological needs—autonomy, competence, and relatedness—across diverse contexts including professional and interpersonal domains, while specialized scales such as the Christian Religious Internalization Scale (CRIS) examine religious motivation through identified regulation (internal factors) and introjected regulation (external factors) subscales available in both comprehensive 48-item and abbreviated 12-item formats. The General Causality Orientations Scale (GCOS) employs vignette-based methodology to assess autonomous, controlled, and impersonal motivational orientations using seven-point Likert scaling across typical social and achievement scenarios, while health-specific applications include the Health Care Self-Determination Theory (HC-SDT) measures that evaluate self-determination components related to four critical health behaviors: alcohol reduction, nutritional improvement, regular exercise, and smoking cessation.

(Deci et al.,2017) Compared to SMT and climate change denying, Spitzer et al (2024) decided to test self-determination theory in youth and resulted in following conclusions. Young people are neither responsible for causing climate change nor can they be solely accountable for its solutions. Nonetheless, engaging with youth on this issue is essential, both to prepare them for the challenges ahead and to seize a critical developmental window. Adolescence and studence group are marked by rapid social and cognitive growth, including greater capacity for perspective-taking, heightened sensitivity to issues of justice, and an emerging search for meaning and purpose (Huang et al., 2025). These developmental shifts can motivate proactive engagement with pressing societal challenges, including climate change. Self-determination theory (SDT) provides a useful framework for understanding how to support and empower young people as they navigate life in a warming world.

Cognitive Motivation

Drive theory in psychology conceptualizes fundamental motivational forces as urgent desires for fulfillment arising from physiological stress, deficiency, or homeostatic imbalance, such as hunger and thirst, that propel organisms toward goal-directed action, with theoretical distinctions made between need as a state of deprivation and drive as its psychological manifestation through stress responses

Online ISSN 3007-3197
Print ISSN 3007-3189

and behavioral activation. Academic perspectives vary regarding the classification of drives, with some scholars distinguishing between primary drives directly linked to essential physiological requirements including food, oxygen, and water, and acquired drives such as substance dependence, while comprehensive taxonomies encompass achievement, affiliation, curiosity, exploration, manipulation, reproduction, pain avoidance, sexuality, and sleep among other motivational systems. Motivation, derived etymologically from the Latin *motivus* meaning "driving cause," encompasses the psychological forces that initiate, direct, and sustain goal-oriented behavior, with motivational constructs serving as explanatory mechanisms for observable behavioral variations rather than directly measurable phenomena, as demonstrated by the relationship between temporal proximity to last meal consumption and food-seeking behaviors. The dynamic nature of motivation as a performance variable means that motivational changes produce immediate behavioral consequences, with individuals capable of experiencing rapid fluctuations in task engagement based on evolving motivational states that can transform high motivation into disinterest through subsequent motivational shifts. Motivational systems are categorized into primary motives representing unlearned, universal drives shared across human and animal populations, including sex, hunger, thirst, pain avoidance, fear, and aggression, and secondary motives encompassing learned motivational patterns that demonstrate inter-individual and cross-species variation, such as achievement motivation and power drive among other domain-specific constructs. The theoretical framework further distinguishes between "push" motives originating from internal physiological or psychological changes that activate specific motivational states, and "pull" motives representing external environmental goals that direct behavior toward particular outcomes, with most motivational scenarios involving complex interactions between both mechanisms, as exemplified by hunger regulation through internal glucose and fat storage signals combined with external food availability and palatability factors that collectively determine feeding behavior through the dynamic interplay of internal and external motivational determinants.. (Cacioppo et al.,1996)

Arousal theory suggests that performance is optimized at a moderate level of arousal, with both insufficient and excessive arousal leading to reduced effectiveness. Arousal can stem from multiple sources, including physiological processes (e.g., hormonal

Online ISSN 3007-3197
Print ISSN 3007-3189

activation), psychological states (e.g., stress or motivation), and environmental influences (e.g., noise, temperature) (Bandu et al., 2024). The relationship between arousal and performance is typically represented as an inverted-U curve, indicating that while moderate arousal enhances performance, excessive arousal produces diminishing returns (Bell et al., 2024). This framework has been widely applied across disciplines such as sport psychology, education, and cognitive psychology to explain variations in human performance under different conditions (Krasmik et al., 2024).

Conclusion

Motivation is a complex and dynamic psychological force that underpins human behavior. It shapes how individuals think, feel, and act across all domains of life, from satisfying basic physiological needs to striving for self-actualization and meaning. Psychological research has offered multiple perspectives on motivation—from behaviorist and drive-based models to cognitive, humanistic, and self-determination theories—each contributing to a broader understanding of why people pursue certain goals while resisting others. A distinction between intrinsic and extrinsic motivation highlights that enduring drive often stems from internal needs for competence, autonomy, relatedness, and purpose, rather than from external rewards or pressures. Advances in neuroscience further support this view, framing motivation not merely as a mental state but as a biological process involving the brain's reward pathways, emotional regulation, and executive functions. In today's rapidly changing world—shaped by shifting social expectations, increasing mental health challenges, and pervasive technological distractions—understanding motivation has become more important than ever..

References

Amabile TM. Motivational synergy: Toward new conceptualizations of intrinsic and extrinsic motivation in the workplace. Human resource management review. 1993 Sep 1;3(3):185-201.

Bandhu, D., Mohan, M. M., Nittala, N. A. P., Jadhav, P., Bhadauria, A., & Saxena, K. K. (2024). Theories of motivation: A comprehensive analysis of human behavior drivers. *Acta Psychologica*, *244*, 104177.

Online ISSN 3007-3197
Print ISSN 3007-3189

Bell, I. H., Pot-Kolder, R., Rizzo, A., Rus-Calafell, M., Cardi, V., Cella, M., ... & Valmaggia, L. (2024). Advances in the use of virtual reality to treat mental health conditions. *Nature Reviews Psychology*, *3*(8), 552-567.

Bouton, M. E. (2024). Habit and persistence. Journal of the experimental analysis of behavior, 121(1), 88-96.

Cacioppo JT, Petty RE, Feinstein JA, Jarvis WB. Dispositional differences in cognitive motivation: The life and times of individuals varying in need for cognition. Psychological bulletin. 1996 Mar;119(2):197.

Callahan, M. M., Fodstad, J. C., & Moore, J. W. (2023). History of applied behavior analysis. In *Handbook of Applied Behavior Analysis: Integrating Research into Practice* (pp. 3-17). Cham: Springer International Publishing.

Campbell E, Storch N. The changing face of motivation: A study of second language learners' motivation over time. Australian Review of Applied Linguistics. 2011;34(2):166-92.

Deci EL, Ryan RM. Conceptualizations of intrinsic motivation and self-determination. InIntrinsic motivation and self-determination in human behavior 1985 (pp. 11-40). Boston, MA: Springer US.

Deci EL, Olafsen AH, Ryan RM. Self-determination theory in work organizations: The state of a science. Annual review of organizational psychology and organizational behavior. 2017 Mar 21;4:19-43.

Dörnyei Z. Motivation in action: Towards a process-oriented conceptualisation of student motivation. British journal of educational psychology. 2000 Dec;70(4):519-38.

Elizondo, K., Valenzuela, R., Pestana, J. V., & Codina, N. (2024). Self-regulation and procrastination in college students: A tale of motivation, strategy, and perseverance. Psychology in the Schools, 61(3), 887-902.

Fizaine, F., & Le Borgne, G. (2025). Climate knowledge matters: A causal analysis of knowledge and individual carbon emissions. Journal of Environmental Management, 385, 125604.

Online ISSN 3007-3197
Print ISSN 3007-3189

Feldman Y. The complexity of disentangling intrinsic and extrinsic compliance motivations: Theoretical and empirical insights from the behavioral analysis of law. Wash. UJL & Pol'y. 2011;35:11.

Frantz, C.M., Bushkin, L. & O'Keefe, D. Evaluating the usefulness of Protection Motivation Theory for predicting climate change mitigation behavioral intentions among a US sample of climate change deniers and acknowledgers. *BMC Psychol* **12**, 605 (2024). https://doi.org/10.1186/s40359-024-02088-8

Güngör P. The relationship between reward management system and employee performance with the mediating role of motivation: A quantitative study on global banks. Procedia-social and behavioral sciences. 2011 Jan 1;24:1510-20.

Huang, A., Lycett, K., Klas, A., Crowe, M., Frykberg, G., Olsson, C. A., ... & Walter, C. (2025). Empowering students as change agents to reduce vehicle idling and promote pro-environmental attitudes and behaviours: the idle off pilot study. *Environmental Education Research*, 1-37.

Hutagaol, F. Y. N., & Widyaningrum, A. (2023). Maslow's and Freud's Theories Reflected in Andrew Laeddis' Character. *Humanitatis: Journal of Language and Literature*, *10*(1), 109-122.

Krasmik, Y., Aimaganbetova, O., Iancheva, T., Zhantikeyev, S., Lashkova, E., Makhmutov, A., & Rakhmalin, B. (2024). Motivational determinants of athletes' self-realisation depending on their professional qualification. *BMC psychology*, *12*(1), 416.

Malone TW, Lepper MR. Making learning fun: A taxonomy of intrinsic motivations for learning. InAptitude, learning, and instruction 2021 May 30 (pp. 223-254). Routledge.

Pas, E. T., Ünlü, A., Skrzypek, C., Ma, J., & Bradshaw, C. P. (2025). Leveraging Machine Learning to Assess Coaches' Use of Motivational Interviewing Consistent Language: Implications for Training and Practice. *Journal of Educational and Psychological Consultation*, 1-26.

Annual Methodological Archive Research Review
http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about
Volume 3, Issue 9 (2025)

Online ISSN 3007-3197
Print ISSN 3007-3189

http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about

Pearce, A., Mitchell, L.A., Best, S. *et al.* Publics' knowledge of, attitude to and motivation towards health-related genomics: a scoping review. *Eur J Hum Genet* **32**, 747–758 (2024). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41431-024-01547-5

Sarwar, S., Tara, A. N., Abid, M. N., & Dukhaykh, S. (2025). Teachers' academic motivation and student procrastination behaviour: mediating effects of emotion regulation and study habits. *BMC psychology*, *13*(1), 52.

Schneirla TC. Behavioral development and comparative psychology. The Quarterly Review of Biology. 1966 Sep 1;41(3):283-302.

Spitzer, J., Grapsas, S., Poorthuis, A. M., Vansteenkiste, M., & Thomaes, S. (2025). Coming of age in a warming world: A self-determination theory perspective. *Child Development Perspectives*, *19*(3), 129-138.

Zacks S, Hen M. Academic interventions for academic procrastination: A review of the literature. Journal of prevention & intervention in the community. 2018 Apr 3;46(2):117-30.