

Intersectionality Reinforcing Gender Inequality Across Higher Education Institutions in Contemporary Societies

Muhammad Shoaib (Corresponding Author)

Associate Professor, Department of Sociology, University of Gujrat, Gujrat, Pakistan

Email: shoailsoc@uog.edu.pk

Anzala Noor

PhD Scholar, Department of English, University of Gujrat, Pakistan

Email: anzalanoor001@gmail.com

Tabassum Iqbal

Lecturer, Department of English, University of Gujrat, Gujrat, Pakistan

Email: tabassum.iqbal@uog.edu.pk

Farooq Abdullah

Lecturer, Department of Sociology, Mirpur University of Science & Technology,

Mirpur, AJ&K, Pakistan Email: farooq.abdullah@must.edu.pk

ABSTRACT

This study explores how intersectional dynamics reinforce gender inequality within higher education institutions in contemporary societies. Using a qualitative research design, data were collected through purposive sampling of published research documents, including peer-reviewed articles, ethnographies, and theoretical analyses, and analyzed through thematic analysis. Eight major themes were identified: 1) the intersection of gender, class, and socioeconomic background; 2) cultural norms, ethnicity, and gendered educational experiences; 3) institutional structures and gendered power relations; 4) curriculum, knowledge production, and epistemic marginalization; 5) classroom interaction and pedagogical practices; 6) academic networks, mentorship, and professional opportunities; 7) intersectional barriers in leadership and decision-making; and 8) policy implications and pathways toward inclusive higher education. Findings reveal that women, particularly those from marginalized social groups, experience compounded barriers that affect access to resources, academic participation, leadership opportunities, and career advancement. Intersectionality, coupled with Bourdieuan concepts of cultural and social capital, elucidates how structural, cultural, and relational mechanisms reproduce gendered hierarchies within universities. The study emphasizes the need for policies and practices that address overlapping forms of disadvantage, promote inclusive pedagogy, diversify curricula, and provide equitable mentorship and networking opportunities. By applying an intersectional lens, this research highlights pathways for achieving greater equity, representation, and empowerment for women in higher education.

Keywords: Intersectionality, Gender Inequality, Higher Education, Cultural Capital, Social Capital, Pedagogical Practices, Inclusive Education, Epistemic Marginalization

Introduction

Higher education institutions are widely regarded as spaces that promote meritocracy, intellectual growth, and social mobility (Crenshaw, 1989). However, sociological scholarship increasingly demonstrates that these institutions are also sites where multiple forms of inequality intersect and persist (Bourdieu, 1986). The concept of intersectionality, originally articulated by Crenshaw, provides a critical framework for understanding how gender inequality is reinforced through the overlapping influences of social categories such as class, ethnicity, race, and institutional power (Crenshaw, 1989; Bourdieu, 1986). Rather than viewing gender as an isolated variable, intersectionality emphasizes how different systems of oppression operate simultaneously within educational structures (Shoaib, Shamraiz, Baneen, & Abdullah, 2026a, 2026c, 2026d; Shoaib & Ullah, 2026). In contemporary higher education, these intersecting inequalities shape students' access to resources, academic recognition, participation in leadership roles, and career opportunities (Shoaib, Shamraiz, Abdullah, & Shahzadi, 2026a, 2026b; Shoaib, Shamraiz, Baneen, & Abdullah, 2026b). Consequently, gender disparities within universities have not been fully understood without examining the broader social hierarchies embedded within institutional cultures and practices (Shoaib, Shahzadi, Iqbal, & Abdullah, 2026; Shoaib, Shahzadi, Shamraiz, & Abdullah, 2026a, 2026b, 2026c).

Across contemporary societies, higher education institutions reflect wider social stratification patterns, where gender interacts with socioeconomic background, cultural capital, and institutional norms to produce differentiated educational experiences (Shoaib, Iqbal, Baneen, & Abdullah, 2026a, 2026b, 2026c; Shoaib, Shahzadi, & Abdullah, 2026). Feminist sociological perspectives highlight that curricular content, academic evaluation systems, and professional networks often privilege dominant social groups, thereby marginalizing women and other underrepresented identities (Shoaib, Ahmed, Iqbal, & Abdullah, 2026a, 2026b, 2026c; Shoaib, Iqbal, Iqbal, & Abdullah, 2026a, 2026b). Intersectional analysis reveals that women from minority, lower-class, or rural backgrounds encounter compounded barriers that differ significantly from those experienced by more privileged groups (Shoaib, Abdullah, & Baneen, 2026a, 2026b, 2026c). These layered inequalities influence academic confidence, participation in scholarly spaces, and long-term career trajectories (Shahzadi, Shoaib, Baneen, & Abdullah, 2026; Shahzadi, Shoaib, Iqbal, & Abdullah, 2026; Shamraiz, Shoaib, Baneen, & Shahzadi, 2026a, 2026b). By examining gender inequality through an intersectional lens, scholars better understand the structural and cultural mechanisms that reproduce inequality within higher education and identify pathways for more inclusive and equitable academic environments (Ali, Abdullah, & Shaoib, 2026; Ali, Abdullah, & Shoaib, 2026; Larijani, Shoaib, & Abedi, 2026; Shahzadi, Shoaib, & Abdullah, 2026).

Study Context

Regardless of significant global expansion in access to higher education and increasing female enrollment, gender inequality continues to persist within universities and other higher education institutions (Ahmed, Shoaib, Iqbal, & Abdullah, 2026; Ahmed, Shoaib, Iqbal, & Abdullah, 2026a, 2026b). However, formal policies often emphasize equality and merit-based advancement, subtle and structural forms of discrimination remain embedded in institutional cultures, academic practices, and decision-making processes (Waris, Shoaib, Iqbal, & Abdullah, 2025; Waris, Shoaib, Sharif, & Abdullah, 2025a, 2025b, 2025c). Traditional analyses of gender inequality in education have frequently treated gender as a single, isolated category, overlooking the complex ways in which gender interacts with other axes of social stratification such as class, ethnicity, region, and cultural background (Shoaib, Waris, Zaman, & Abdullah, 2025a, 2025b; Shoaib & Zaman, 2025; Shoaib, Zaman, & Abdullah, 2025). The framework of intersectionality, developed by Crenshaw, highlights that individuals experience inequality through overlapping systems of power and disadvantage (Shoaib, Waris, & Iqbal, 2025a, 2025b, 2025c; Shoaib, Waris, & Iqbal, 2025b; Shoaib, Waris, Iqbal, & Abdullah, 2025). However, empirical and theoretical research in higher education still insufficiently examines how these intersecting identities shape gendered experiences within institutional environments (Shoaib, Tariq, & Iqbal, 2025a, 2025b; Shoaib, Tariq, Rasool, & Iqbal, 2025; Shoaib, Waris, & Iqbal, 2025a).

Consequently, there remains a significant gap in understanding how intersectional dynamics reinforce gender inequality across higher education institutions in contemporary societies (Shoaib, Rasool, & Zaman, 2025a, 2025b, 2025c; Shoaib, Rasool, Zaman, & Abdullah, 2025; Shoaib, Rasool, Zaman, & Ahmed, 2025; Shoaib, Shamsher, & Iqbal, 2025; Shoaib, Shamsher, & Iqbal, 2025). Students and faculty members belonging to marginalized social groups face compounded barriers in access to resources, academic recognition, leadership opportunities, and professional advancement (Shoaib, Rasool, Iqbal, & Abdullah, 2025a, 2025b; Shoaib, Rasool, Kalsoom, & Ali, 2025). These intersecting inequalities often remain hidden within institutional policies that appear neutral but reproduce existing hierarchies (Shoaib, Rasool, & Iqbal, 2025a, 2025b, 2025c). Without a comprehensive sociological examination of these overlapping structures of power, efforts to promote gender equality in higher education risk addressing only surface-level disparities (Shoaib, Iqbal, Rasool, & Abdullah, 2025; Shoaib & Kausar, 2025; Shoaib, Kausar, Ali, & Abdullah, 2025). Therefore, it is necessary to investigate how intersectional factors operate within higher education institutions to reinforce gender inequality and to identify pathways toward more inclusive and equitable academic environments.

The Data and Methods

This study employed a qualitative research design to explore how intersecting social structures reinforce gender inequality within higher education institutions. The research relied on a document-based qualitative approach, drawing data from purposively selected published research materials. These materials included peer-reviewed journal articles, academic books, ethnographic studies, and theoretical analyses that specifically addressed gender, power relations, and institutional

dynamics in higher education. The selection of documents was guided by their relevance to the conceptual framework of intersectionality, a concept developed by Crenshaw to explain how overlapping social identities such as gender, class, ethnicity, and cultural background interact to shape experiences of inequality. This purposive sampling strategy ensured that the data sources provided rich theoretical and empirical insights into the ways intersectional dynamics influence gendered experiences within contemporary universities.

The collected documents were analyzed using thematic analysis, a systematic qualitative method for identifying patterns and meanings within textual data. The analytical process involved several stages, including repeated reading of selected documents, iterative coding of relevant concepts, and the identification of recurring patterns across the literature. Through this process, codes were refined and grouped into broader conceptual categories, ultimately leading to the development of eight major themes that capture the intersectional mechanisms reinforcing gender inequality in higher education institutions. These themes highlight the complex interactions between institutional structures, cultural norms, academic practices, and social identities that shape gendered educational experiences. Based on this thematic synthesis, the study presents its results and conclusions regarding the persistence of intersectional gender inequalities and the need for more inclusive institutional policies and practices within contemporary higher education systems.

Results and Discussion

Theme 1: Intersection of Gender, Class, and Socioeconomic Background

The intersection of gender, class, and socioeconomic background significantly shapes educational opportunities and experiences within higher education institutions (Shoaib, Iqbal, & Iftikhar, 2025). From an intersectional perspective, inequalities in education have not been understood solely through gender differences; rather, they are produced through the overlapping effects of gender with social class and economic resources (Shoaib, Batool, Kausar, & Abdullah, 2025). The concept of intersectionality, introduced by Crenshaw, highlights how individuals experience multiple forms of disadvantage simultaneously (Shoaib & Bashir, 2025). In the context of higher education, women from lower socioeconomic backgrounds often face structural barriers such as limited financial support, restricted access to academic resources, and fewer opportunities for participation in scholarly networks (Shoaib, Ali, & Kausar, 2025). Sociologists such as Bourdieu argue that disparities in cultural capital and social capital further reinforce these inequalities (Shoaib, Ali, Iqbal, & Abdullah, 2025b), as students from privileged families possess greater familiarity with academic norms, language practices, and institutional expectations (Shoaib, Ali, Iqbal, & Abdullah, 2025c).

Moreover, the interaction of gender and class often shapes students' academic confidence, participation patterns, and career aspirations (Shoaib, Ali, Iqbal, & Abdullah, 2025a). Women from working-class or economically marginalized backgrounds experience heightened pressures related to financial constraints, family responsibilities, and limited institutional support, which influence their persistence and academic performance (Shoaib, Ali, Iqbal, & Abdullah, 2025b, 2025c). Research in the sociology of education suggests that universities frequently operate according

to middle-class cultural norms that privilege students with greater socioeconomic advantages (Shoaib, Ali, Iqbal, & Abdullah, 2025a). As a result, female students from disadvantaged backgrounds encounter subtle forms of exclusion or marginalization within classroom discussions, mentorship opportunities, and academic recognition (Shoaib, Ahmed, Zaman, & Abdullah, 2025). Intersectional analysis therefore reveals that gender inequality in higher education is not uniform; rather, it varies according to students' class positions and access to resources (Shoaib, Ahmed, & Usmani, 2025b). Understanding these layered inequalities is essential for developing policies that address both gender and socioeconomic disparities within contemporary higher education systems (Shoaib, Ahmed, & Usmani, 2025a).

Theme 2: Cultural Norms, Ethnicity, and Gendered Educational Experiences

Cultural norms and ethnic identities play a crucial role in shaping gendered educational experiences within higher education institutions (Shoaib, Ahmed, Iqbal, & Abdullah, 2025). From an intersectional perspective, gender inequality has not been examined independently of cultural expectations, ethnic backgrounds, and social traditions that influence educational participation and aspirations (Shoaib, Ahmed, & Iqbal, 2025). The framework of intersectionality, articulated by Crenshaw, highlights how multiple social identities interact to create complex forms of disadvantage (Shoaib & Abdullah, 2025). In many societies, cultural norms surrounding gender roles often place implicit limitations on women's academic mobility, leadership participation, and intellectual visibility (Shoaib, 2025a). These expectations have been particularly pronounced for women belonging to minority ethnic communities, where cultural traditions and social pressures shape educational choices and career trajectories (Shoaib, 2025b). Consequently, ethnic minority women in higher education face overlapping barriers related to both gender bias and ethnic marginalization, which affect their sense of belonging and academic engagement (Iqbal, Shoaib, Iqbal, & Abdullah, 2025).

Furthermore, higher education institutions themselves are not culturally neutral spaces; they often reflect dominant cultural values that privilege certain linguistic practices, knowledge systems, and social identities (Ali, Shoaib, & Kausar, 2025). Sociological perspectives on sociology of education suggest that institutional norms unintentionally marginalize students whose cultural backgrounds differ from dominant academic cultures (Ali, Shoaib, Iqbal, & Abdullah, 2025a). Women from minority ethnic groups therefore encounter subtle forms of exclusion, such as stereotypes about intellectual ability, limited representation in curricula, or reduced access to mentorship and professional networks (Ali, Shoaib, Iqbal, & Abdullah, 2025b). These dynamics shape classroom participation, academic recognition, and career aspirations (Ali, Shoaib, Iqbal, & Abdullah, 2025b). Intersectional analysis reveals that gender inequality in higher education is intensified when combined with ethnic marginalization and cultural expectations, creating layered educational disadvantages (Ali, Shoaib, Iqbal, & Abdullah, 2025a). Addressing these challenges requires institutions to adopt culturally inclusive curricula, equitable support systems, and policies that recognize the diverse social realities of students within contemporary higher education environments (Ali, Shoaib, & Ali, 2025).

Theme 3: Institutional Structures and Gendered Power Relations

Institutional structures within higher education play a significant role in shaping and reproducing gendered power relations (Ahmed, Shoaib, & Zaman, 2025). Universities often operate through hierarchical administrative systems, decision-making bodies, and academic governance structures that reflect broader societal inequalities (Shoaib, Zaman, & Abbas, 2024). From the perspective of intersectionality, conceptualized by Crenshaw, these institutional arrangements interact with multiple social identities such as gender, class, and ethnicity to produce unequal outcomes (Shoaib, Shehzadi, & Abbas, 2024b). Despite increasing female participation in higher education, women remain underrepresented in senior academic positions, leadership roles, and influential committees (Shoaib, Shehzadi, & Abbas, 2024a). Sociological analyses suggest that institutional cultures often privilege historically dominant groups, thereby reinforcing existing gender hierarchies (Shoaib, Ali, & Abbas, 2024). Informal networks, decision-making practices, and promotion criteria unintentionally favor male academics, creating structural barriers that limit women's advancement and influence within academic institutions (Shoaib, Abdullah, Naqvi, & Ditta, 2024).

Furthermore, the persistence of gendered power relations is also linked to institutional norms and professional expectations embedded in academic environments (Shoaib, 2024e). Feminist sociologists argue that universities frequently reproduce patriarchal structures through evaluation systems, research recognition, and leadership selection processes (Shoaib, 2024d). For example, criteria for academic promotion such as research productivity, international mobility, and extensive networking disadvantage women who experience additional responsibilities related to family or caregiving roles (Shoaib, 2024b). Intersectional perspectives reveal that women from marginalized ethnic or socioeconomic backgrounds often encounter even greater barriers to institutional power and representation (Shoaib, 2024c). These structural dynamics shape access to resources, participation in governance, and the legitimacy of scholarly authority (Shoaib, 2024a). Understanding how institutional structures reinforce gendered power relations is therefore essential for developing inclusive policies, transparent promotion systems, and equitable leadership opportunities within contemporary higher education institutions (Ali, Zaman, & Shoaib, 2024).

Theme 4: Curriculum, Knowledge Production, and Epistemic Marginalization

Curriculum and knowledge production play a central role in shaping how gender inequalities are reproduced within higher education institutions (Shoaib, Usmani, & Abdullah, 2023). Academic curricula often reflect dominant social perspectives, which marginalize alternative viewpoints and experiences, particularly those of women and minority groups (Shoaib, Shehzadi, & Abbas, 2023). From the perspective of intersectionality, developed by Crenshaw, the production and validation of knowledge are influenced by overlapping structures of power such as gender, class, and ethnicity (Shoaib, Rasool, Anwar, & Ali, 2023). Inside many academic disciplines, the contributions of male scholars and Western intellectual traditions have historically dominated course content, research agendas, and theoretical frameworks (Shoaib, Naseer, & Naseer, 2023). As a result, women's experiences, perspectives, and scholarly contributions often remain underrepresented in textbooks, syllabi, and academic discourse (Shoaib, Mustafa, & Hussain, 2023).

This imbalance reinforces epistemic hierarchies by shaping what is considered legitimate knowledge and whose voices are recognized within higher education (Shoaib, 2023c).

The concept of epistemic marginalization further understood through the sociological insights of Bourdieu, particularly his theory of symbolic power, which explains how dominant groups maintain authority over cultural and intellectual production (Shoaib, 2023b). In higher education, symbolic power operates through academic publishing, research funding priorities, and curriculum design, which privilege certain perspectives whereas excluding others (Shoaib, 2023a). Consequently, students from marginalized gender and social backgrounds struggle to see their experiences reflected in the knowledge systems they study (Shoaib, Usmani, & Ali, 2022). This lack of representation influence academic engagement, intellectual confidence, and scholarly participation (Shoaib, Mustafa, & Hussain, 2022). An intersectional analysis reveals that women from minority ethnic or lower socioeconomic backgrounds experience deeper forms of epistemic exclusion within academic spaces (Shoaib, Anwar, & Rasool, 2022). Addressing these issues requires a more inclusive approach to curriculum development, the integration of diverse scholarly voices, and critical reflection on how knowledge is produced and legitimized within contemporary higher education systems (Shoaib, Ali, Anwar, & Abdullah, 2022).

Theme 5: Classroom Interaction and Pedagogical Practices

Classroom interaction and pedagogical practices are central to shaping students' educational experiences and unintentionally reproduce gender inequalities within higher education institutions (Shoaib & Ullah, 2021a). Classroom environments often reflect broader social hierarchies, where patterns of participation, teacher expectations, and communication styles influence students' visibility and confidence (Shoaib & Ullah, 2021b). From the perspective of intersectionality, articulated by Crenshaw, classroom dynamics are shaped not only by gender but also by intersecting identities such as class, ethnicity, and cultural background (Shoaib, Rasool, & Anwar, 2021). Research in the sociology of education suggests that instructors unconsciously encourage greater participation from students who conform to dominant academic norms, often privileging male voices or students from socially advantaged backgrounds (Shoaib, Fatima, & Jamil, 2021). Consequently, female students particularly those from marginalized communities experience reduced opportunities to engage in discussions, receive feedback, or demonstrate intellectual authority in classroom settings (Shoaib, Ali, & Akbar, 2021).

Pedagogical practices, including teaching methods, evaluation systems, and classroom management strategies, further reinforce or challenge these gendered patterns (Shoaib, Ali, & Naseer, 2021). Traditional lecture-based approaches and competitive discussion formats privilege assertive communication styles that align with dominant gender norms, whereas collaborative and inclusive teaching practices create more equitable learning environments (Shoaib, Ali, Anwar, & Shaukat, 2021). Sociological insights from Bourdieu highlight the role of cultural capital in shaping students' academic participation, as individuals from privileged social backgrounds are often more familiar with the language, behaviors, and expectations valued within academic institutions (Shoaib, Ali, Anwar, Rasool, et al., 2021). Intersectional analysis

therefore reveals that classroom inequalities are not simply the result of gender bias but emerge from the interaction of multiple social identities and institutional norms (Shoaib, Ahmad, Ali, & Abdullah, 2021). Promoting inclusive pedagogical strategies, gender-sensitive teaching practices, and equitable participation opportunities is essential for addressing these disparities and fostering a more supportive academic environment in higher education (Shoaib, Abdullah, & Ali, 2021).

Theme 6: Academic Networks, Mentorship, and Professional Opportunities

Academic networks, mentorship, and professional opportunities play a crucial role in shaping students' academic trajectories and career advancement within higher education institutions (Shoaib, 2021). However, access to these resources is often structured by existing social hierarchies, which reinforce gender inequality (Shoaib, Abdullah, & Ali, 2020). From the perspective of Intersectionality, introduced by Crenshaw, individuals experience advantages or disadvantages through the interaction of multiple social identities such as gender, class, ethnicity, and cultural background (Shoaib & Ullah, 2019). In many universities, informal academic networks, research collaborations, and mentorship relationships are often dominated by established groups, which unintentionally exclude women and other marginalized individuals (Ahmad, Ahmad, & Shoaib, 2016). As a result, female students and early-career scholars particularly those from minority or lower socioeconomic backgrounds have limited access to professional guidance, research opportunities, and institutional support that are essential for academic success (Rafique & Shoaib, 2015).

Sociological insights from Bourdieu help explain these dynamics through the concept of social capital, which refers to the benefits individuals gain through social connections, professional relationships, and institutional networks (Shoaib, Shaukat, Khan, & Saeed, 2013). In academic environments, social capital often facilitates access to research funding, conference participation, publication opportunities, and career advancement (Shoaib, Latif, & Usmani, 2013). When women lack equal access to these networks, their professional visibility and advancement prospects have been constrained (Anwar, Shoaib, & Javed, 2013). Intersectional analysis further reveals that women belonging to marginalized ethnic or socioeconomic groups encounter compounded barriers in forming influential academic relationships (Shoaib, 2021). Addressing these inequalities requires institutions to promote structured mentorship programs, inclusive research collaborations, and equitable networking opportunities that support diverse scholars and foster gender equity within contemporary higher education systems (Shoaib, 2023a).

Theme 7: Intersectional Barriers in Leadership and Decision-Making

Intersectional barriers in leadership and decision-making significantly limit women's participation and influence within higher education institutions (Shoaib, 2023b). Regardless of growing female enrollment in universities, women remain underrepresented in senior academic and administrative positions, highlighting the persistence of structural inequalities (Shoaib, 2024a). From an intersectionality perspective, articulated by Crenshaw, gender inequality in leadership have not been examined in isolation; it interacts with other social identities, including ethnicity, class, and cultural background (Shoaib, 2024c). Women from minority or

marginalized groups often face compounded disadvantages that restrict access to decision-making spaces, mentorship for leadership roles, and visibility in institutional hierarchies (Shoaib, 2024b). Institutional norms and informal networks privilege dominant groups, reinforcing gendered power relations and limiting opportunities for diverse leadership representation (Shoaib, 2024d).

Sociological theories, particularly the concept of symbolic power proposed by Bourdieu, explain how authority and influence are maintained through cultural and institutional practices (Shoaib, 2024e). Leadership selection processes, promotion criteria, and participation in key committees often reflect entrenched patriarchal and elitist structures, making it difficult for women, especially those from disadvantaged backgrounds, to gain recognition or influence institutional policy (Shoaib, 2025b). Intersectional analysis highlights that women's exclusion from leadership is not merely a matter of gender bias but a result of overlapping social, cultural, and institutional barriers (Shoaib, 2025a). Addressing these challenges requires universities to implement transparent promotion policies, equitable representation in governance, and targeted leadership development programs that consider the diverse social realities of women academics and professionals (Shoaib & Abdullah, 2025).

Theme 8: Policy Implications and Pathways Toward Inclusive Higher Education

Policy frameworks and institutional reforms are critical for addressing intersectional gender inequalities within higher education and creating more inclusive academic environments (Shoaib et al., 2020). However, many universities have implemented gender equality policies, these often fail to fully consider how intersecting social identities such as class, ethnicity, and cultural background shape women's experiences in academic spaces (Shoaib, Abdullah, et al., 2021; Shoaib, Ahmed, et al., 2026c). The Intersectionality framework, developed by Crenshaw, emphasizes that effective policy interventions must address the multiple, overlapping forms of disadvantage that women from marginalized groups face (Shoaib, Ahmed, et al., 2026b). Inclusive higher education policies should therefore go beyond formal equality measures to tackle structural, cultural, and epistemic barriers that limit access, participation, and advancement for diverse women scholars and students (Shoaib, Ahmed, & Usmani, 2025b).

Practical pathways toward inclusive higher education includes the development of culturally sensitive curricula, equitable hiring and promotion practices, structured mentorship programs, and accessible research and networking opportunities (Shoaib, 2023a). Policies should also aim to foster inclusive classroom environments and pedagogical approaches that value diverse perspectives and recognize the varied social realities of students (Shoaib, 2021). Sociological insights from Bourdieu on cultural and social capital highlight the importance of providing underrepresented groups with access to the resources, networks, and institutional knowledge necessary to navigate academic systems effectively (Shoaib, 2024b). By adopting intersectional approaches to policy design and implementation, higher education institutions have not only mitigated gender inequalities but also promote equitable participation, representation, and academic success for all students and faculty members (Shoaib, 2024e).

Theoretical Insights

The examination of intersectionality within higher education draws upon multiple sociological theories to explain how gender inequality is produced and reproduced across institutional, cultural, and structural dimensions. Intersectionality, developed by Crenshaw, provides the foundational lens for this study, emphasizing that gender have not been analyzed in isolation but interacts with other social identities such as class, ethnicity, and cultural background to create compounded disadvantages (Crenshaw, 1989). Intersectionality helps reveal how women from marginalized social groups experience layered barriers in access, participation, and recognition within higher education, illustrating that gender disparities are contingent upon broader social hierarchies. This perspective is essential for understanding why women's experiences in academic environments are not uniform and why policies addressing gender inequality must account for overlapping systems of oppression.

Complementing intersectionality, Bourdieu's theories of cultural and social capital provide insights into how educational inequality is reproduced within institutions. Bourdieu (1986) argues that access to academic success is influenced not only by formal qualifications but also by the possession of cultural knowledge, linguistic competence, and social networks resources unevenly distributed according to class, ethnicity, and gender. Symbolic power, another Bourdieuan concept, explains how institutional practices and norms legitimize certain forms of knowledge whereas marginalizing others, contributing to epistemic exclusion of women and minority groups. Together, intersectionality and Bourdieuan sociology elucidate the structural, cultural, and relational mechanisms through which higher education institutions reproduce gendered hierarchies, highlighting the necessity of inclusive policies, equitable pedagogical practices, and culturally sensitive curricula to promote social justice within contemporary academic environments (Crenshaw, 1989; Bourdieu, 1986).

Conclusion

This study demonstrates that gender inequality in higher education have not been understood solely through a singular lens of gender but must be examined through the intersecting influences of class, ethnicity, cultural norms, and institutional structures. The findings reveal that women's experiences in universities are shaped by overlapping systems of disadvantage, where socioeconomic background affects access to resources, ethnic and cultural identities influence participation and belonging, and institutional hierarchies limit representation in leadership and decision-making. Curricular content, pedagogical practices, and epistemic authority often reinforce dominant perspectives, marginalizing the voices and contributions of women and minority scholars. Furthermore, access to mentorship, academic networks, and professional opportunities is unevenly distributed, exacerbating structural inequities and limiting career advancement for women from marginalized groups. By applying an intersectional framework alongside Bourdieuan concepts of cultural and social capital, this study highlights how structural, cultural, and relational mechanisms interact to reproduce gendered hierarchies within higher education. The implications underscore the need for inclusive institutional policies, equitable pedagogical practices, culturally sensitive curricula, and targeted mentorship

programs to address these layered inequalities. Overall, the study emphasizes that meaningful gender equity in higher education requires addressing the interconnected and systemic factors that shape women's academic experiences and creating pathways for participation, representation, and empowerment for all social groups.

References

- Ahmad, A., Ahmad, F., & Shoaib, M. (2016). Democratic Attitude and Respect of Humanity in Pakistan: The Role of Mass Media Attitude and Family Values. *The Pakistan Journal of Social Issues*, 7, 114-121.
- Ahmed, R., Shoaib, M., & Zaman, M. A. (2025). Female Acceptability in STEM Higher Education in Pakistan: The Role of Gender Expression, Gender Sensitivity, and Supportiveness. *Annual Methodological Archive Research Review*, 3(7), 296-322.
- Ahmed, R., Shoaib, M., Iqbal, S., & Abdullah, F. (2026). Constructing a Sociological Scale for Gender, STEM, and Social Norms: Assessing Feminization and Resistance. *Journal for Current Sign*, 4(1), 157-180.
- Ahmed, R., Shoaib, M., Iqbal, T., & Abdullah, F. (2026a). Barriers to Female Participation in STEM: A Sociological Study of Cultural and Structural Resistance. *GUMAN*, 8(4), 380-393.
- Ahmed, R., Shoaib, M., Iqbal, T., & Abdullah, F. (2026b). Negotiating Femininity in STEM Higher Education: Patterns of Career, Individual, and Family Orientations. *Annual Methodological Archive Research Review*, 4(1), 68-84.
- Ali, A., Shoaib, M., & Ali, S. R. (2025). Critical and Collaborative Skills in Higher Education: Sociological Insights into Student Development. *Journal of Management & Social Science*, 2(6), 108-120.
- Ali, R., Abdullah, F., & Shaoib, M. (2026). Gender, Artificial Intelligence, and Academic Inequality in Higher Education in Pakistan. *Journal of Management & Social Science*, 3(1), 151-171.
- Ali, R., Abdullah, F., & Shoaib, M. (2026). Sociology of Digital Control: Datafication, Online Surveillance, and Gendered Norms in Everyday Life in Higher Education in Pakistan. *Journal of Management Science Research Review*, 5(1), 246-265.
- Ali, R., Zaman, M. A., & Shoaib, M. (2024). Trends of Research Visualization of Gender Inequality, Equality, and Equity: A Bibliometric Analysis from 1981 to 2020. *Pakistan Journal of Law, Analysis and Wisdom*, 3(8), 237-252.
- Ali, S. R., Shoaib, M., & Kausar, N. (2025). Gender Disparity in Enrolment, Classroom, Learning Environment, and Learning Achievements of the Students in Higher Education in Pakistan. *Journal of Media Horizons*, 6(3), 330-342.
- Ali, S. R., Shoaib, M., Iqbal, S., & Abdullah, F. (2025a). Policy and Institutional Support for Equitable Assessment and Culturally Relevant Pedagogy among University Students. *Journal of Media Horizons*, 6(7), 1200-1215.
- Ali, S. R., Shoaib, M., Iqbal, S., & Abdullah, F. (2025b). Scale Development and Validation of Gender Disparity and Learning Achievement in Higher Education: A Confirmatory Factor Analysis. *International Journal of Social Sciences Bulletin*, 3(12), 710-722.

- Ali, S. R., Shoaib, M., Iqbal, T., & Abdullah, F. (2025a). Learning Practices and Inequality: A Sociological Examination of Lecture Notes in Bootstrapped Induction. *Journal for Current Sign*, 3(4), 2161–2178.
- Ali, S. R., Shoaib, M., Iqbal, T., & Abdullah, F. (2025b). A Sociological Study of Independent Work, Language Mastery, and Communication Skills Among Social Sciences Students. *GUMAN*, 8(4), 366–379.
- Anwar, B., Shoaib, M., & Javed, S. (2013). Women's autonomy and their role in decision making at household level: a case of rural Sialkot, Pakistan. *World Applied Sciences Journal*, 23(1), 129-136.
- Bourdieu, P. (1986). *The forms of capital*. In J. Richardson (Ed.), *Handbook of Theory and Research for the Sociology of Education* (pp. 241–258). Greenwood.
- Crenshaw, K. (1989). *Demarginalizing the intersection of race and sex: A Black feminist critique of antidiscrimination doctrine, feminist theory and antiracist politics*. University of Chicago Legal Forum, 1989(1), 139–167.
- Iqbal, A., Shoaib, M., Iqbal, T., & Abdullah, F. (2025). Pedagogical Skills and the Classroom Environment: A Sociological and Empirical Inquiry. *Annual Methodological Archive Research Review*, 3(12), 489-509.
- Larijani, M., Shoaib, M., & Abedi, M. (2026). Technology-based Education for Students with Disability: A Bibliometric Analysis. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Management Studies*, 19(2), 247-266.
- Rafique, U., & Shoaib, M. (2015). Labor Force Participation and Fertility Behavior: Gender Focused Study of South Asian Countries. *Research Journal of Social Science & Management*, 5(1), 212-217.
- Shahzadi, S., Shoaib, M., & Abdullah, F. (2026). Gender, Socialization, and Learning Outcomes: A Conceptual Sociological Framework for Understanding Educational Achievement. *Journal for Current Sign*, 4(1), 561–579.
- Shahzadi, S., Shoaib, M., Baneen, U., & Abdullah, F. (2026). From Emotion to Innovation: A Sociological Study of Emotional Intelligence and Creative Capacity among Students in Pakistani Higher Education. *Annual Methodological Archive Research Review*, 4(1), 458-476.
- Shahzadi, S., Shoaib, M., Iqbal, S., & Abdullah, F. (2026). Learning Achievement in the Age of Intersectionality: Developing a Sociological Measurement Scale for Tertiary Education in Pakistan. *Journal of Media Horizons*, 7(2), 1-18.
- Shamraiz, S., Shoaib, M., Baneen, U., & Shahzadi, S. (2026a). Constructing Gender, Negotiating Achievement: A Qualitative Sociological Inquiry into Learning Pathways in Educational Settings. *International Journal of Social Sciences Bulletin*, 4(2), 137-153.
- Shamraiz, S., Shoaib, M., baneen, U., & Shahzadi, S. (2026b). Understanding Learning through Gendered Lenses: A Qualitative Sociological Study of Educational Experiences and Achievement. *Dialogue Social Science Review (DSSR)*, 4(2), 1-17.
- Shoaib, M. (2021). *Sociological Analysis of Teachers Perspectives on Students Academic Performance in Higher Education in the Punjab*. (PhD Thesis). International Islamic University Islamabad, Central Library.
- Shoaib, M. (2023a, September 22). Galvanising Bourdieu's typology with Pakistani education and social class. *The Nation*, p. 4.

- Shoaib, M. (2023b, December 05). Gender Differences in Academic Performance. *The Nation*.
- Shoaib, M. (2023c). Leisure and Psychological Well-being of the Elderly: Nexus of Mass Media and Modern Technology. *Pakistan Journal of Law, Analysis and Wisdom*, 2(2), 1042–1053.
- Shoaib, M. (2024a, January 09). Gender Disparity in Education. *The Nation*.
- Shoaib, M. (2024b). Gender Diversity and Inclusion in Higher Education in Pakistan. *Pakistan Journal of Law, Analysis and Wisdom*, 3(1), 207-222.
- Shoaib, M. (2024c, April 30). Gendered Space in Higher Education. *Daily Parliament Times*, p. 3.
- Shoaib, M. (2024d). Gendering Bourdieu's Cultural Capital in Higher Education in Pakistan. *Pakistan Journal of Law, Analysis and Wisdom*, 3(2), 265-278.
- Shoaib, M. (2024e). Tailoring Theoretical Lens and Nudging Bourdieu's Cultural Capital on Gender and Academic Performance. *Journal of Social Sciences Review*, 4(4), 87–101.
- Shoaib, M. (2025a). Academic Achievement and Gender Inequality in Higher Education: A Systematic Review of Muslim Majority Nations. *Sociology & Cultural Research Review* 3(02), 373–380.
- Shoaib, M. (2025b). A Systematic Review of Gender Disparities in Academic Achievement in Higher Education Across Muslim Countries. *Advance Social Science Archive Journal*, 3(02), 1622–1639.
- Shoaib, M., & Abdullah, F. (2025). Artificial Intelligence in Education: A Sociological Analysis of Gender Inequalities in Technology-Mediated Learning Environments. *Al-Anfal*, 3(4), 85–115.
- Shoaib, M., & Bashir, Z. (2025). *Virtual Classrooms and Academic Performance of the Students in Higher Education during the COVID-19 Outbreak*. Paper presented at the 5th International Conference of PNQAHE and AGM on Stakeholder Engagement in Quality Assurance – Shaping Higher Education with Inputs from All Relevant Voices, Forman Christian College University, Lahore.
- Shoaib, M., & Kausar, N. (2025). Death Anxiety Among Chronic Disease Patients: The Role of Spiritual Well-Being, Mental Health Issues, and Religious Beliefs. *OMEGA - Journal of Death and Dying*, 1-18.
- Shoaib, M., & Ullah, H. (2019). Female and Male Students' Educational Performance in Tertiary Education in the Punjab, Pakistan. *Pakistan Journal of Social Issues*, X(1), 83-100.
- Shoaib, M., & Ullah, H. (2021a). Classroom Environment, Teacher, and Girl Students' Learning Skills. *Education and Urban Society*, 53(9), 1039-1063. doi:10.1177/00131245211001908
- Shoaib, M., & Ullah, H. (2021b). Teachers' perspectives on factors of female students' outperformance and male students' underperformance in higher education. *International Journal of Educational Management*, 35(3), 684-699. doi:10.1108/IJEM-05-2020-0261
- Shoaib, M., & Ullah, H. (2026). Gender differentials in academic performance in Pakistani higher education*. *Educational Research and Evaluation*, 31(1-2), 1-21.

- Shoaib, M., & Zaman, M. A. (2025). Evaluating Academic Performance in Higher Education during COVID-19 A Study of Virtual Learning Environments. *Pakistan Journal of Law, Analysis and Wisdom*, 4(4), 64-78.
- Shoaib, M., Abdullah, F., & Ali, N. (2020). Library Resources and Research Environment in Higher Education Institutions: Students' Satisfaction. *Library Philosophy and Practice*, 1-18.
- Shoaib, M., Abdullah, F., & Ali, N. (2021). A Research Visualization of Academic Learning Skills among Students in Higher Education Institutions: A Bibliometric Evidence from 1981 to 2020. *Library Philosophy and Practice*, 5579, 1-34.
- Shoaib, M., Abdullah, F., & Baneen, U. (2026a). Digital Learning and Gendered Capital: Reconfiguring Educational Advantage in the Knowledge Society. *GUMAN*, 9(1), 266–281.
- Shoaib, M., Abdullah, F., & Baneen, U. (2026b). Gendered Expectations of Teachers and the Social Production of Academic Self-Concept. *Journal for Current Sign*, 4(1), 1063–1081.
- Shoaib, M., Abdullah, F., & Baneen, U. (2026c). Institutional Patriarchy and Pedagogical Practices: Gendered Power Relations in Contemporary Schooling. *Pakistan Journal of Social Science Review*, 5(2), 608–625.
- Shoaib, M., Abdullah, F., Naqvi, S. A. A., & Ditta, A. (2024). Social Distancing, Social Isolation, and Fake News during COVID-19 Pandemics: A Case of Family Life in Pakistan. *Pakistan Journal of Law, Analysis and Wisdom*, 3(4), 105-120.
- Shoaib, M., Ahmad, A., Ali, N., & Abdullah, F. (2021). Trend of Research Visualization of Learning, Classroom, and Class Participation in Higher Education Institutions: A Bibliometric Analysis from 2001 to 2020. *Library Philosophy and Practice*, 5743, 1-26.
- Shoaib, M., Ahmed, R., & Iqbal, S. (2025). Female Acceptability in Stem Higher Education in Pakistan: The Interplay of Careerist Femininity, Job Oriented, and Family Orientation. *Journal for Current Sign*, 3(3), 181–202.
- Shoaib, M., Ahmed, R., & Usmani, F. (2025a). Empirical Study on Acceptability and Resistance to Feminization in STEM Fields at the Higher Education Level. *Physical Education, Health and Social Sciences*, 3(3), 135–143.
- Shoaib, M., Ahmed, R., & Usmani, F. (2025b). Feminization in STEM Fields in Higher Education in Pakistan: A Case of Female Acceptability and Resistance. *Social Science Review Archives*, 3(3), 646–660.
- Shoaib, M., Ahmed, R., Iqbal, S., & Abdullah, F. (2025). Feminization of STEM Education in Higher Education: Arrays of Acceptability and Resistance. *Research Consortium Archive*, 3(2), 1002-1021.
- Shoaib, M., Ahmed, R., Iqbal, S., & Abdullah, F. (2026a). From Resistance to Recognition: Sociological Insights on Job-Oriented and Historical Dimensions of Feminization in STEM Higher Education. *Research Consortium Archive*, 4(1), 272-287.
- Shoaib, M., Ahmed, R., Iqbal, S., & Abdullah, F. (2026b). Negotiating Gender and STEM: A Sociological Study of Individual, Familial, and Peer Acceptability in Higher Education. *Pakistan Journal of Social Sciences Review*, 5(1), 266–

284.

- Shoaib, M., Ahmed, R., Iqbal, S., & Abdullah, F. (2026c). Reconfiguring Gender in STEM Higher Education: Expression, Sensitivity, and the Politics of Support and Cooperation. *Journal of Management & Social Science*, 3(1), 117-134.
- Shoaib, M., Ahmed, R., Zaman, M. A., & Abdullah, F. (2025). Exploring Acceptability and Resistance to Feminization in STEM Fields: An Empirical Perspective from Higher Education. *Dialogue Social Science Review (DSSR)*, 3(7), 722–736.
- Shoaib, M., Ali, N., & Naseer, A. (2021). Plotting the Literature on Precautionary Measures of COVID-19: A Scientometric Analysis of Web of Science. *Library Philosophy and Practice*, 5899, 1-20.
- Shoaib, M., Ali, N., Anwar, B., & Abdullah, F. (2022). Library services and facilities in higher education institutions during coronavirus disease (COVID-19) in Pakistan. *Journal of Information Science*, 1-14.
- Shoaib, M., Ali, N., Anwar, B., & Shaukat, B. (2021). Plotting the Literature on Learning Outcomes and Academic Performance in Higher Education from 2001 to 2020: A Scientometric Analysis. *Library Philosophy and Practice*, 5919, 1-24.
- Shoaib, M., Ali, N., Anwar, B., Rasool, S., Mustafa, R.-e., & Zici, S. (2021). Research Visualization on Teaching, Language, Learning of English and Higher Education Institutions from 2011 to 2020: A Bibliometric Evidences *Library Philosophy and Practice*, 5677, 1-27.
- Shoaib, M., Ali, R., & Akbar, A. (2021). Library Services and Facilities in Higher Education Institutions in Pakistan: Satisfaction of Patrons. *Library Philosophy and Practice*, 1-19.
- Shoaib, M., Ali, S. R., & Abbas, Z. (2024). Self-Fulfilling Prophecy of Learning Skills Among Students in Higher Education. *Pakistan Journal of Law, Analysis and Wisdom*, 3(7), 164-177.
- Shoaib, M., Ali, S. R., & Kausar, N. (2025). Gender Disparity on Teaching Materials, Communication, Institutional Support, and Learning Achievements of the Students in Higher Education in Pakistan. *International Journal of Social Sciences Bulletin*, 3(7), 169-183.
- Shoaib, M., Ali, S. R., Iqbal, S., & Abdullah, F. (2025a). An Empirical Study of Instructional Flexibility, Classroom Climate, and Collaborative Learning Opportunities in Higher Education. *Pakistan Journal of Social Sciences Review*, 4(8), 246–264.
- Shoaib, M., Ali, S. R., Iqbal, S., & Abdullah, F. (2025b). Gender Inequality in Communication and Access to Resources Across Residential Contexts at Tertiary Level. *Research Consortium Archive*, 3(4), 2132-2150.
- Shoaib, M., Ali, S. R., Iqbal, S., & Abdullah, F. (2025c). A Study of Gender Disparity in Enrolment, Classroom Participation, and Learning Conditions in Higher Education. *Annual Methodological Archive Research Review*, 3(12), 446-465.
- Shoaib, M., Ali, S. R., Iqbal, T., & Abdullah, F. (2025a). Gender Disparity in Learning Achievements of the Students in Higher Education in Pakistan. *International Journal of Social Sciences Bulletin*, 3(6), 840-853.
- Shoaib, M., Ali, S. R., Iqbal, T., & Abdullah, F. (2025b). Learning Modalities and

- Academic Engagement in Higher Education: A Sociological Study of Group-Study, Online Learning, and Self-Study. *Journal of Management Science Research Review*, 4(4), 1941–1959.
- Shoaib, M., Ali, S. R., Iqbal, T., & Abdullah, F. (2025c). The Self in Action: Performativity, Prophecy, and Responsiveness among Higher Education Students. *Journal for Cultural Research*, 3(12), 516–530.
- Shoaib, M., Anwar, B., & Rasool, S. (2022). Factors Affecting EFL Teaching Skills at Higher Education Institutions in Pakistan: An Analysis of Teachers' Perspective. *Pakistan Journal of Language Studies*, 15(1), 1-15.
- Shoaib, M., Batool, F., Kausar, N., & Abdullah, F. (2025). Research on Learning Modalities A Bibliometric Study of Global Scholarship (2001–2023). *International Journal of Social Sciences Bulletin*, 3(8), 1083-1101.
- Shoaib, M., Fatima, U., & Jamil, R. (2021). Academic Library and Students' Learning at University Level: Nothing is Pleasanter than Exploring a Library. *Library Philosophy and Practice*, 1-19.
- Shoaib, M., Iqbal, A., & Iftikhar, I. (2025). Engagement of Students in Learning in Higher Education: The Role of Academic Library Spaces. *The Regional Tribune*, 4(3), 311-328.
- Shoaib, M., Iqbal, A., Iqbal, S., & Abdullah, F. (2026a). Intersecting Inequalities Through a Sociological Lens: Symbolic Boundaries in the Construction of Gendered Academic Identities in Pakistan. *International Journal of Social Sciences Bulletin*, 4(2), 9-23.
- Shoaib, M., Iqbal, A., Iqbal, S., & Abdullah, F. (2026b). Symbolic Boundaries and Gendered Academic Identities at Higher Educational Institutions in Pakistan. *Dialogue Social Science Review (DSSR)*, 4(125–142).
- Shoaib, M., Iqbal, S., Baneen, U., & Abdullah, F. (2026a). From Access to Agency: Gendered Educational Trajectories in the Era of Neoliberal Reform. *Research Consortium Archive*, 4(1), 928-945.
- Shoaib, M., Iqbal, S., Baneen, U., & Abdullah, F. (2026b). Intersectional Classrooms: Gender, Social Stratification, and the Reproduction of Educational Inequality. *Annual Methodological Archive Research Review*, 4(2), 385-403.
- Shoaib, M., Iqbal, S., Baneen, U., & Abdullah, F. (2026c). Symbolic Violence and Gendered Aspirations: A Bourdieusian Study of Educational Choice and Achievement. *Dialogue Social Science Review (DSSR)*, 4(2), 849–866.
- Shoaib, M., Iqbal, S., Rasool, S., & Abdullah, F. (2025). A Sociological Perspective on Gender Disparities in Higher Education in Pakistan. *International Journal of Social Sciences Bulletin*, 3(10), 560-572.
- Shoaib, M., Kausar, N., Ali, S. R., & Abdullah, F. (2025). Gender Disparity in Learning Achievements in Higher Education: Insights from a Literature Review. *Policy Research Journal*, 3(6), 634–648.
- Shoaib, M., Latif, B., & Usmani, F. (2013). Economic contribution changes the decision-making of working women; A case of Bhimben District-AJK. *Middle-East Journal of Scientific Research*, 16(5), 602-606.
- Shoaib, M., Mustafa, R.-E.-, & Hussain, K. G. (2022). Revisiting Classroom Environment and Academic Performance of the Students in Higher Education Institutions. *Pakistan Journal of Social Research*, 4(3), 969-986.

- Shoaib, M., Mustafa, R.-E.-., & Hussain, K. G. (2023). Citing the Empirical Shreds of Electronic Evidence on Pedagogical Skills Employing Bibliometric Analysis from 2001- 2020. *Pakistan Journal of Social Research*, 5(2), 1050-1062.
- Shoaib, M., Naseer, A., & Naseer, N. (2023). Family Pressure, Social Media Influence, and COVID-19 Vaccination Hesitancy among Students at Higher Education in Pakistan. *Pakistan Journal of Law, Analysis and Wisdom*, 2(3), 295-307.
- Shoaib, M., Rasool, S., & Anwar, B. (2021). Evaluating Research Support Facilities to University Students during COVID-19. *Library Philosophy and Practice*, 4953(1), 1-18.
- Shoaib, M., Rasool, S., & Iqbal, S. (2025a). Gendered Reflections on Third Space Pedagogy and Classroom Practices in Higher Education in Pakistan. *Physical Education, Health and Social Sciences*, 3(4), 374–385.
- Shoaib, M., Rasool, S., & Iqbal, S. (2025b). Sociological Perspectives on Inclusive Pedagogy in Higher Education Classrooms in Pakistan. *Social Science Review Archives*, 3(4), 1845–1854.
- Shoaib, M., Rasool, S., & Iqbal, S. (2025c). Trends and Paradoxes of Gender in Higher Education in Pakistan. *Pakistan Journal of Law, Analysis and Wisdom*, 4(9), 37-51.
- Shoaib, M., Rasool, S., & Zaman, M. A. (2025a). Feminist Pedagogies and Gender Sensitive Teaching Practices in Pakistani Higher Education. *Social Science Review Archives*, 3(4), 179–190.
- Shoaib, M., Rasool, S., & Zaman, M. A. (2025b). Feminist Praxis and Pedagogical Approaches in Higher Education in Pakistan. *Pakistan Journal of Law, Analysis and Wisdom*, 4(8), 145-160.
- Shoaib, M., Rasool, S., & Zaman, M. A. (2025c). Gender and Cultural dynamics in Shaping Pedagogical Practices in Pakistani Higher Education. *Physical Education, Health and Social Sciences*, 3(4), 105–118.
- Shoaib, M., Rasool, S., Anwar, B., & Ali, R. (2023). Academic library resources and research support services to English teachers in higher education institutions. *Journal of Electronic Resources Librarianship*, 35(1), 17-27.
- Shoaib, M., Rasool, S., Iqbal, S., & Abdullah, F. (2025a). Empowerment of Females Through Knowledge in Higher Education in Pakistan. *Journal of Media Horizons*, 6(5), 636-648.
- Shoaib, M., Rasool, S., Iqbal, S., & Abdullah, F. (2025b). A Sociological Analysis of Gender and Digital Pedagogy in Higher Education: Perspectives from Pakistani Context. *Journal of Media Horizons*, 6(5), 968-981.
- Shoaib, M., Rasool, S., Kalsoom, A., & Ali, S. R. (2025). Exploring Gender-Based Dissimilarities in Educational Outcomes at the Tertiary Level: A Review of Existing Literature. *Policy Research Journal*, 3(7), 287–302.
- Shoaib, M., Rasool, S., Zaman, M. A., & Abdullah, F. (2025). Gendered Pathways and Global Knowledge Flows in the Transformation of Pakistani Higher Education. *International Journal of Social Sciences Bulletin*, 3(10), 308-322.
- Shoaib, M., Rasool, S., Zaman, M. A., & Ahmed, R. (2025). An Empirical Insight into Acceptability and Resistance to Feminization in STEM Fields at the Higher Education Level. *Research Consortium Archive*, 3(3), 157-178.

- Shoaib, M., Shahzadi, S., & Abdullah, F. (2026). Gendered Trajectories of Learning: A Conceptual Sociological Analysis of Educational Achievement across Gender Constructs. *Research Consortium Archive*, 4(1), 598-614.
- Shoaib, M., Shahzadi, S., Iqbal, S., & Abdullah, F. (2026). From Cognition to Critique: A Sociological Study of Cognitive Abilities and Critical Thinking Skills of Students at University Level in Pakistan. *Pakistan Journal of Social Sciences Review*, 5(1), 871–888.
- Shoaib, M., Shahzadi, S., Shamraiz, S., & Abdullah, F. (2026a). Between Identity and Performance: A Sociological Analysis of Gender Identity, Expression, and Roles among Students at Tertiary Level in Pakistan. *Dialogue Social Science Review (DSSR)*, 4(1), 361–378.
- Shoaib, M., Shahzadi, S., Shamraiz, S., & Abdullah, F. (2026b). Communication, Conduct, and Classroom Success: A Sociological Analysis of Academic Achievement and Behavior Change among Students in Pakistani Higher Education. *Journal of Management & Social Science*, 3(1), 395-413.
- Shoaib, M., Shahzadi, S., Shamraiz, S., & Abdullah, F. (2026c). Culture, Habitus, and Society: A Multidimensional Sociological Perspective on Social Practices of Students in Higher Education in Pakistan. *Journal of Management Science Research Review*, 5(5), 474–494.
- Shoaib, M., Shamraiz, S., Abdullah, F., & Shahzadi, S. (2026a). Gender Constructs and the Social Production of Learning Achievement: A Qualitative Study in Educational Contexts. *Journal of Media Horizons*, 7(2), 55-71.
- Shoaib, M., Shamraiz, S., Abdullah, F., & Shahzadi, S. (2026b). Reframing Learning Achievement: A Conceptual Sociological Analysis of Gender Constructs in Educational Contexts. *Annual Methodological Archive Research Review*, 4(2), 38-58.
- Shoaib, M., Shamraiz, S., Baneen, U., & Abdullah, F. (2026a). Epistemic Inequality in Schooling: Gender, Knowledge Production, and the Politics of Recognition. *Journal for Current Sign*, 4(1), 1063–1081.
- Shoaib, M., Shamraiz, S., Baneen, U., & Abdullah, F. (2026b). Hidden Curricula and Gender Regimes: A Sociological Analysis of Power, Identity, and Achievement in Educational Institutions. *Journal of Management & Social Science*, 3(1), 848-864.
- Shoaib, M., Shamraiz, S., Baneen, U., & Abdullah, F. (2026c). Negotiating Masculinities and Femininities in the Classroom: A Sociological Analysis of Gendered Pathways to Learning and Achievement. *Journal of Management & Social Science*, 3(1), 883-900.
- Shoaib, M., Shamraiz, S., Baneen, U., & Abdullah, F. (2026d). Performing Merit: Gendered Habitus and the Social Construction of Academic Excellence in Higher Education. *Pakistan Journal of Social Science Review*, 5(2), 660–677.
- Shoaib, M., Shamsher, A., & Iqbal, S. (2025). A Systematic Review of Academic Library Spaces as Facilitators of Student Engagement in Higher Education Learning. *The Knowledge*, 4(1), 123-134.
- Shoaib, M., Shamsher, A., & Iqbal, S. (2025). Understanding Student Engagement in Higher Education: The Contribution of Academic Library Spaces. *ProScholar Insights*, 4(1), 245-257.

- Shoaib, M., Shaukat, B., Khan, M. N. A., & Saeed, M. (2013). Family Environment and the Concept of Tolerance among Family Members: A Case of Faisalabad-Pakistan. *World Applied Sciences Journal*, 23(1), 123-128.
- Shoaib, M., Shehzadi, K., & Abbas, Z. (2023). Contemporary Research on Learning Spaces and Teacher Effectiveness in Higher Education. *Pakistan Journal of Law, Analysis and Wisdom*, 2(03), 352–369.
- Shoaib, M., Shehzadi, K., & Abbas, Z. (2024a). Inclusivity and Teachers' Aptitude in Higher Education in Pakistan. *Pakistan Journal of Law, Analysis and Wisdom*, 3(6), 219-237.
- Shoaib, M., Shehzadi, K., & Abbas, Z. (2024b). Inclusivity, Teacher Competency, and Learning Environment at Higher Education: Empirical Evidences. *Pakistan Journal of Law, Analysis and Wisdom*, 3(5), 244-261.
- Shoaib, M., Tariq, I., & Iqbal, S. (2025a). Extracurricular Activities in Higher Education: Diversity and Inclusion. *Regional Lens*, 4(1), 174-187.
- Shoaib, M., Tariq, I., & Iqbal, S. (2025b). Intersectionality and Student Inclusion in Higher Education: A Study of Class, Residence, Culture, and Extracurricular Participation. *Journal of Social Horizons*, 2(1), 1-14.
- Shoaib, M., Tariq, I., Rasool, S., & Iqbal, S. (2025). The Role of Extracurricular Activities in Fostering Diversity and Inclusion in Higher Education: A Systematic Review. *Advance Social Science Archive Journal*, 3(2), 1377–1392.
- Shoaib, M., Usmani, F., & Abdullah, F. (2023). Plotting The Literature On Social Work Education From 1971-2020: A Scientometric Analysis. *Pakistan Journal of Social Research*, 5(2), 1347-1360.
- Shoaib, M., Usmani, F., & Ali, N. (2022). Citing the Empirical Shreds on Social Welfare and Methods of Social Work Employing Bibliometric Analysis From 1971 to 2020. *Pakistan Journal of Social Research*, 4(3), 1113-1133.
- Shoaib, M., Waris, T., & Iqbal, S. (2025a). A Review-Based Examination of Gender Dynamics in Virtual Learning Environments in Higher Education. *Sociology & Cultural Research Review*, 3(02), 448–454.
- Shoaib, M., Waris, T., & Iqbal, S. (2025a). Assessing Gendered Participation Spaces in Online Learning Environments in Higher Education in Pakistan. *The Knowledge*, 4(2), 63-74.
- Shoaib, M., Waris, T., & Iqbal, S. (2025b). Gender Dynamics in Online Higher Education: Insights from Empirical Evidence. *The Regional Tribune*, 4(2), 89-102.
- Shoaib, M., Waris, T., & Iqbal, S. (2025b). Virtual Learning Environments and Gendered Spaces in Higher Education in Pakistan: A Quantitative Approach. *Regional Lens*, 4(2), 65-78.
- Shoaib, M., Waris, T., & Iqbal, S. (2025c). A Quantitative Study of Gendered Interactions and Spatial Perceptions in Online Higher Education in Pakistan. *ProScholar Insights*, 4(2), 96-108.
- Shoaib, M., Waris, T., Iqbal, S., & Abdullah, F. (2025). Gender, Digital Resources, and Assessment in Tertiary Virtual Classrooms: A Quantitative Study. *Research Consortium Archive*, 3(4), 2336-2349.
- Shoaib, M., Waris, T., Zaman, M. A., & Abdullah, F. (2025a). Bounded Bodies and

- Framed Voices: Gender Settings, Mobility Constraints, and Gender-Sensitive Language in Social Institutions. *Journal of Management Science Research Review*, 4(4), 1970–1988.
- Shoaib, M., Waris, T., Zaman, M. A., & Abdullah, F. (2025b). Negotiating Gendered Spaces in Tertiary Virtual Learning Environments: A Quantitative Study of Inclusivity and Interaction. *Annual Methodological Archive Research Review*, 3(12), 563-581.
- Shoaib, M., Zaman, M. A., & Abbas, Z. (2024). Trends of Research Visualization of Gender Based Violence (GBV) from 1971-2020: A Bibliometric Analysis. *Pakistan Journal of Law, Analysis and Wisdom*, 3(7), 203-216.
- Shoaib, M., Zaman, M. A., & Abdullah, F. (2025). Gender and Health through a Feminist Lens: Sociological Insights from Pakistan. *Research Consortium Archive*, 3(4), 1075-1086.
- Waris, T., Shoaib, M., Iqbal, S., & Abdullah, F. (2025). Quantitative Scale Development on Gendered Spaces in Virtual Classrooms at the Tertiary Level. *Journal for Current Sign*, 3(4), 2307–2331.
- Waris, T., Shoaib, M., Sharif, M., & Abdullah, F. (2025a). Gender Dynamics in Virtual Classrooms: Quantitative Insights into Absolute, Conditional, and Public Spaces at the Tertiary Level. *Pakistan Journal of Social Sciences Review*, 3(7), 753–770.
- Waris, T., Shoaib, M., Sharif, M., & Abdullah, F. (2025b). Online Assessment, Web Platforms, and Gendered Learning Patterns: Quantitative Evidence from Tertiary Education. *Dialogue Social Science Review (DSSR)*, 3(12), 627–642.
- Waris, T., Shoaib, M., Sharif, M., & Abdullah, F. (2025c). Private, Inclusive, and Media Spaces in Online Higher Education: A Quantitative Study of Gendered Learning Environments. *Journal of Management & Social Science*, 2(5), 739-756.