

Assessment Of Self Emulsifying Drug Delivery System Loaded With Curcumin Against Enterococcus Faecalis In In Vitro Denture Model

Hamad Ullah

Institute of Pathology and Diagnostic Medicine, Khyber Medical University, Peshawar Pakistan

Muti Ullah Khattak

Institute of Pathology & Diagnostic medicine Khyber Medical University Peshawar Pakistan

Momin Khan

Institute of Pathology and Diagnostic Medicine, Khyber Medical University, Peshawar Pakistan

Muhammad Shakeel*

Institute of Biotechnology and Microbiology, Bacha Khan University Charsadda

Email: drshakeel@bkuc.edu.pk

Naila Gulfam

Department of Zoology, Jinnah College for Women, University of Peshawar

Muhammad Saqib Khalil

Sarhad Institute of Allied Health Sciences, Sarhad University of Science & Information Technology Peshawar Pakistan

Imran Khan

Sarhad Institute of Allied Health Sciences, Sarhad University of Science & Information Technology Peshawar Pakistan

Hafsa

Sarhad Institute of Allied Health Sciences, Sarhad University of Science & Information Technology Peshawar Pakistan

Sulah Syeed

Sarhad Institute of Allied Health Sciences, Sarhad University of Science & Information Technology Peshawar Pakistan

Aamir Aziz

Sarhad Institute of Allied Health Sciences, Sarhad University of Science & Information Technology Peshawar Pakistan

*Corresponding author

Dr Muhammad Shakeel

Email: drshakeel@bkuc.edu.pk

ABSTRACT

Resistance due to misuse of antibiotics needs to be tackled with alternative herbal treatments. Enterococcus faecalis infections are a leading cause of dental caries because of its virulence factors promoting its biofilm and multidrug resistance. Curcumin

being an antibacterial herb was further enhanced by self-emulsifying drug delivery systems (SEDDS) against. This study evaluates the antibacterial and antibiofilm activity of curcumin-loaded SEDDS against *E. faecalis* isolates in in-vitro model of dental caries. Two formulations (F1 and F2) were prepared with various excipients. Physicochemical compatibility was assessed using Zeta sizer. Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC), minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC), and antibiofilm activity were determined. F1 exhibited a PDI of 0.148, zeta potential of -6.70 mV, and a size of 30.52 nm, while F2 showed values of 0.159, -5.62 mV, and 72.0 nm respectively. MIC for F1 was 300µg/mL and MBC was 150µg/mL. Antibiofilm assays revealed F1 and F2 inhibited biofilm formation by *E. faecalis* isolates, with F1 inhibiting 66% to 71.2% and F2 inhibiting 66.9% to 72.6% at the highest curcumin concentration.

Key words: *E. Faecalis*, SEDDS, Acrylic Dentures, Curcumin, Biofilm, MIC, MBC and in Vitro Biofilm.

INTRODUCTION:

Enterococcus faecalis is a gram-positive, facultative anaerobe non-spore-forming bacterium which is usually found in the vagina, gastrointestinal tract and oral cavity. It is a catalase-oxidase negative bacterium of the genus *Enterococcus* or *Enterococci* (previously known as "faecal" or Lancefield group D streptococci), which is also often found in vegetables, plant material and meat. The word "enterococcus" is used to characterize bacteria found in pairs and short chains in human faeces (Govindarajan et al., 2022). *Enterococci* account for the bulk (80.90%) of human enterococcal endodontic infections, but healthy people only show a prevalence of 39.40%. Hospitalized patients may have an increased incidence of infection because of the virulence and strategic position of the hospital. *E. faecalis*, which is a part of the oral microbiota, is important for oral infections. Dental caries, peri-implantitis, abscesses, marginal periodontitis, root canal infections and oral mucosal lesions are among the infections it can cause (Hederstedt et al., 2022).

Dental caries may result in terrible pain and disease, which has an influence on children's quality of life as well as their ability to attend and perform well in school. Dental treatment for oral disorders may be highly costly and create a huge socioeconomic pressure on individuals and healthcare systems. Enterococcal surface protein (ESP), *E. faecalis* protein called Ace 39, serine protease, extracellular matrix proteins, such as type I collagen, LTA binding proteins 41, *E. faecalis* antigen A (efaA), sex pheromones, superoxide anion, gelatinase⁴⁶, polymeric substances (EPS) are the main virulent factors that support *E. faecalis* biofilm and enhance its ability to cause infections. A biofilm is a self-made, self-stabilizing environment in which cells are permanently attached to each other and to the substrates they are grown on. Dental plaque is an example of a biofilm - a living, breathing organism that responds to environmental changes (Brown et al., 2006).

A biofilm is an arrangement of microbial cells on a solid surface. It is made up of a matrix substance that accounts for 85% of its volume and is composed of proteins, polysaccharides, nucleic acids and salt, with the remaining 15% consisting of cells.³⁹ The biofilm structure allows resident cells of the same species or distinct species to

cooperate metabolically and trap nutrients. These bacterial cells interact with one another by employing signaling molecules in a biofilm through a process known as quorum sensing (Kühn et al., 2003). Endodontic infections are closely linked to *E. faecalis*, an opportunistic pathogen that commonly isolates from failing root canals being treated with retreatment and causes nosocomial infections. It is the only species that has been extensively examined for its ability to produce biofilms among several clinical bacterial isolates. Gentamicin, fosfomycin, linezolid, nitrofurantoin, streptomycin, tigecycline, vancomycin⁵⁴⁻⁸⁷ are antibiotics that are used *Enterococcus* (Cogulu et al., 2007).

Turmeric is the popular name for the plant *Curcuma longa*, a member of the Zingiberiaceae family. The diameter of this rhizome is 2.5 cm and its length ranges from 2.7 to 7 cm. 80% of the world's population uses herbal medicines for basic healthcare (Zoletti et al., 2011). The delivery mechanism, such as a tablet you ingest or an injection of a vaccination, is one of these technologies. In the last several decades, medication delivery has evolved significantly. Biomedical engineers have made significant contributions to our knowledge of the physiological obstacles to effective drug administration.⁶⁴⁻¹⁰¹

Self-emulsifying drug delivery systems (SEDDS) are ideally isotropic mixtures of oils and surfactants that sometimes include cosolvents. SEDDS spontaneously emulsify to produce fine oil-in-water emulsions when placed in an aqueous phase and gently swirled. The size of the emulsion droplet is primarily determined by photon correlation spectroscopy, microscopic methods, or a Coulter Nano-sizer. Increasing the co-surfactant ratio caused the droplet size to increase. As drug loading increased, particle size significantly increased, even reaching 100 nm or more (Mechmechani et al., 2021), (Reynaud et al., 2007).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sample collection and Processing:

Samples were collected from patients with dental caries, with the help of scraping through a dental scrapper and cultured on Bile esculin Medium.

Biochemical identification tests of *Enterococcus faecalis*: For further confirmation the following tests were performed: Gram Staining, catalase test, oxidase, Sulphate Indole Motility (SIM) test, growth on 4°C, mannitol fermentation test, sorbitol fermentation test, salt tolerance test, hemolysis on blood agar and Antibiotic susceptibility testing.

Formulations of Curcumin loaded SEDDS: For determination of antibacterial activity of self-emulsifying drug delivery system of curcumin two different formulations with slight modification were prepared. 5 excipients of oils, surfactants and co-surfactants were used in these 2 formulations i.e., in F1 Captex 355 (20%), Chremophor EL (30%), Tween 80 (10%), Polyethylene glycol (PEG)400 (25%), Caprylic acid (15%) and Curcumin (10%) were used while in F2 Captex 355 (20%), Chremophor RH40 (30%), Tween80 (10%), Polyethylene glycol (PEG)300 (25%), Caprylic acid (15%) and Curcumin (10%) were used.

Characterization of Curcumin loaded SEDDS: Curcumin loaded SEDDS were physio-chemically assessed for mean droplet size, polydispersity index and zeta

potential by using zeta sizer (Melvern device). The cuvette was placed in machine and zeta size, zeta potential and polydispersity index were recorded accordingly.

Determination of antibacterial activity of Cur-loaded SEDDS: For determination of antibacterial activity of curcumin loaded SEDDS, agar well diffusion method and broth dilution method were performed.

Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC): 0.5 McFarland turbidity of standard bacterial suspension was prepared from the fresh *E. faecalis* culture. Serial dilutions of both the formulations were made. 96 wells plate was taken and to the first well 200µL of nutrient broth was added as a negative control. In the 12th well, 200µL of bacterial suspension was added as a positive control. From 2nd to 11th well of the 96 wells plate, 100µL of different concentrations of each formulation and 100µL of bacterial suspension was added. The plate was incubated at 37°C for 24 hrs. After 24 hrs. of incubation the plate was checked for turbidity in each well in comparison with positive and negative controls. The well with highest dilution having no turbidity is considered as MIC.

Minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC): After determination of MIC, then MBC was determined for which MHA and blood agar was used. By using a sterile inoculation loop, a drop of culture along with the formulation from MIC well was taken and streaked on the MHA and blood agar plate. In the same way, a drop from wells having positive and negative controls was streaked on the same MHA and blood agar plate. The plate was incubated at 37°C for 24 hrs. After incubation the plate was checked for visible growth. No visible growth indicated the bactericidal nature of the curcumin loaded SEDDS formulations.

Biofilm assay: *E. faecalis* cells were suspended in 5mL of LB broth and incubated for 24 h at 30°C. After 24 h of incubation tubes were centrifuged for 3-5 min and supernatant was discarded. The precipitate was suspended in normal saline and 0.5 McFarland was made. 100µL of LB broth was added to the wells of 96 well plate. 100µL of 0.5 *E. faecalis* suspension was to each well. Acetone was used as a negative control whereas for positive control *E. faecalis* suspension was used. Incubated for 48 hrs. at 37°C in static position. Quantification was done through crystal violet assay. Absorbance was read stoichiometrically at 450 nm against the control.

Result of biofilm was interpreted by using the formula (1).

OD ≤ OD_c = no Biofilm, OD_c < OD ≤ 2 × OD_c = weak biofilm 2 × OD_c < OD ≤ 4 × OD_c = Moderate biofilm 6 × OD_c

In vitro biofilm assay: *E. faecalis* cells were suspended in 5ml of LB and incubated for 24hrs at 30°C. After 24hrs of incubation tubes were centrifuged for 3-5 min and supernatant was discarded. The precipitate was suspended in normal saline and 0.5 McFarland was made. Denture purchased was dipped in ethanol for 30mins to eliminate contaminants. Rinsed in distilled water for three times and dried in laminar flow. Identical size denture was added the wells of 96 well plate containing 200µl of LB broth. Then added 100 micron of 0.5 *E. faecalis* suspension to each well. Control is also applied with 200µl LB broth without *E. faecalis* inoculum and incubated for 48 hrs. at 37°C in static position. Quantification was done through crystal violet assay. Absorbance was read stoichiometrically at 450 nm against the control. Results of biofilm was interpreted by using the formula (1).

In vitro antibiofilm assay: *E. faecalis* cells were suspended in 5ml of LB and

incubated for 24 hrs at 30°C. After 24hrs of incubation, tubes were centrifuged for 3-5 min and supernatant was discarded. The precipitate was suspended in normal saline and 0.5 McFarland was made. Denture purchased was dipped in ethanol for 30mins to eliminate contaminants. Rinsed in distilled water for three times and dried in laminar flow. Identical size denture was added to the wells of 96 well plate containing 200µl of LB broth. 100 microns of 0.5 bacterial suspension to each well was added. Control was also applied with 200µl LB broth without bacterial inoculum. Incubated for 48 hrs. at 37°C in static position. After incubation and development of biofilm 100µl of compounds were added to test wells from higher to lower concentration. The plate was incubated for 24 h at 37°C and rest of procedure is same as for attachment inhibition assay finally the results of both assays were interpreted by formula (2) Percentage inhibition = 100- [OD of test wells with curcumin loaded SEDDS/OD of negative control without compounds × 100].

Cytotoxicity assay: The cytotoxicity of formulations was determined by using sheep blood. 3cc sheep blood was diluted with 27mL phosphate buffer. Diluted sheep blood of 500µL was taken as a constant concentration. Both the formulations in different concentrations (20mg, 10mg and 5mg) were added into each Eppendorf tube. Triton X was used as a positive control whereas sheep blood without formulations was used as a negative control. The tubes were incubated for 2 hours at 37°C. Tubes were centrifuged at 1200 rpm for 15 minutes after incubation. After centrifugation supernatants were analyzed by spectrophotometer. Calculation was carried out by using the below formula:

$$\% \text{ Hemolysis} = \frac{\text{sample} - \text{negative control}}{\text{positive control} - \text{negative control}} \times 100$$

RESULTS

Confirmation and identification of Enterococci:

For confirmation, *E. faecalis* was cultured on Bile Esculin and incubated at 37°C for 24hrs. After incubation Brown point colonies appeared. The bacterial cells were stained according to the standard protocol of gram staining. After staining, the bacterial cells were observed under the microscope. All these characteristics matched with that of the *E. faecalis*.

Table 1: Confirmation and identification of *E. faecalis*

S.no	Biochemical tests	Results
1	Catalase test	Negative
2	Oxidase test	Negative
3	Sulphate Indole Motility (SIM)	Negative
4	Growth on 4°C	Negative
5	Mannitol fermentation test	Positive
6	Sorbitol fermentation test	Positive
7	Salt tolerance test	Positive
8	Hemolysis on blood agar	Alpha hemolysis

Antibiotic susceptibility testing:

Table 2: Zones of inhibition shown by different antibiotics

Online ISSN

3007-3197

Print ISSN

3007-3189

<http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about>

Antibiotics (concentration in µg)	Zones of inhibition
Ciprofloxacin (5)	Nil
Vancomycin (30)	Nil
Erythromycin (15)	Nil
Ampicillin (15)	Nil
Linezolid (15)	Nil
Fosfomycin (30)	9mm
Gentamicin (20)	8mm

Preparation of Curcumin loaded SEDDS formulations:

Table 3: Composition of F1 and F2

Components (F1)	Percentages
Captex 355	20%
Chremophor EL	30%
Tween 80	10%
Polyethylene glycol (PEG)400	25%
Caprylic acid	15%
Curcumin	10%
Components (F2)	Percentage
Captex 355	20%
Chremophor RH40	25%
Tween 80	10%
Polyethylene glycol (PEG)300	25%
Caprylic acid	20%
Curcumin	10%

Characterization of Curcumin loaded SEDDS formulations:

Table 4: Characterization results of Cur-loaded SEDDS formulations

Formulations	Mean droplet size(nm)	Polydispersity index (PDI)	Zeta potential(mv)
F1	30.52nm	0.148	-6.70mv
F2	72.06nm	0.159	-5.62mv

Antibacterial activity of curcumin loaded SEDDS by using agar well diffusion method:

Table 5: Zones of inhibition of F1 and F2

Cur-loaded SEDDS formulations	Zone of inhibition(mm) ± SD
F1	12 ±1.5
F2	14 ± 1.0
Gentamicin (Positive control)	8 ± 0.1
Solo Curcumin	3.2 ± 0.1 mm
F1 without Cur	Nil
F2 without Cur	Nil

DMSO (Negative control)	Nil
-------------------------	-----

MIC and MBC determination:

Table 6: MIC and MBC values of F1 and F2

Curcumin loaded SEDDS formulations	MIC value(mg/mL) ±SD
F1	0.3± 2.5mg/mL (300 µg/µL)
F2	0.15± 1.1mg/mL (150 µg/µL)
Curcumin loaded SEDDS formulations	MBC value(mg/mL) ±SD
F1	0.3± 2.5 mg/mL (300 µg/µL)
F2	0.15± 1.1mg/mL (150 µg /µL)

Biofilm assay: Biofilm assay was performed in order to assess the biofilm forming isolates of *E. faecalis*. Out of 8 samples, 5 were biofilm formers and rest of the 3 were non biofilm producers as shown in table 7.

Table 7: Biofilm producing isolates

Biofilm producing isolates codes	Category	Optical density
E. FBF1	Strong	$6 \times OD_c < OD$
E. FBF2	Moderate	$2 \times OD_c < OD \leq 4 \times OD_c$
E. FBF3	Weak	$OD_c < OD \leq 2 \times OD_c$
E. FBF4	Moderate	$2 \times OD_c < OD \leq 4 \times OD_c$
E. FBF5	Weak	$OD_c < OD \leq 2 \times OD_c$

In vitro Biofilm assay: Biofilm assay was performed for which acrylic dental denture was used and *E. faecalis* was allowed to make biofilm. After incubation the results were noted. Among 5 biofilm producing isolates, one was strong biofilm former, 2 moderate and 2 were weak biofilm producing species.

In vitro Antibiofilm assay: The best activity was shown by both the formulations against weak biofilm producer as compared to moderate and high biofilm producing samples. The percentages of inhibition are listed in the table 8-9.

Table 8: Percentage inhibition of *E. faecalis* by different concentrations of F1

F1 in different conditions	IC50 values(mg/ml)	Percentage inhibition± SD
F1	0.6	71.2 % ± 0.96

Table 9: Percentage inhibition of *E. faecalis* by different concentrations of F2 in dark, light and non photoactivated conditions.

F2 in different conditions	IC50 values(mg/ml)	Percentage inhibition± SD
F2	0.6	72.2 ± 0.96

Cytotoxicity assay of F1 and F2

Cytotoxicity of Cur-loaded SEDDS i.e., F1 and F2 as shown in table 3.11 was determined by the formula given below:

$$\text{Percent hemolysis} = \frac{\text{Sample OD} - \text{Negative control OD}}{\text{Positive control OD} - \text{negative control OD}} \times 100$$

Positive control (Triton x 100) = 2.02 OD

Negative control (Sheep blood) = 0.01 OD

Figure 1-2: Percent hemolysis of F1 and F2 in different concentrations




Fig 1: Cytotoxicity percentages of F1




Fig 2: Cytotoxicity percentages of F2

DISCUSSION

E. faecalis is non-spore-forming gram-positive bacterium which usually resides in gastrointestinal tract and oral cavity. It is a catalase-oxidase negative bacterium of the genus *Enterococcus*. Enterococci contribute to infections with various bacteria in the necrotic root canal. It is commonly encountered in botched root-filled dental operations and may remain as a single organism in the root canal.³

In this study *E. faecalis* was isolated from dental caries specimens. The *Enterococcus* family was first identified by proceeding its growth on bile esculin, whether the

culture gives shiny grey colonies with breakdown of esculin to form iron ions, which resulted in affirmative manner. This identification test was correlated with the results of Ricucci et. al., (2012) in phylotypic analysis of Enterococci. Gram staining, which is an essential protocol to confirm whether the bacterium has a thick or thin peptidoglycan layer making its cell membrane, in this current study the bacterium showed a gram-positive nature, which according to Madsen et. al., (2012) was gram positive as well. Catalase test was negative in the current study to confirm the enterococcal genre supported by identification study conducted by Sedgley et. al., (2006). Oxidase negative negative result shown by Enterococcus also was confirm taking the report of Mohamed and Murray, (2005) as a standard showing similar identification results. Sulphate reduction, motility and conversion of tryptophan amino acid into indole was examined to confirm the *E. faecalis* after the confirmation of Enterococcus. In the current there was no sulphate reduction, motility and indole ring formation noticed as well which was justified by study of Yaneja and Kaur, (2005). Mannitol and Sorbitol fermentation tests were conducted to confirm the *E. faecalis* strain more specifically along with a 4°C growth test, which resulted in affirmative manner for mannitol and sorbitol fermentation but there was no growth of *E. faecalis* inoculums at 4°C, where *E. faecium* showed a growth at same temperature. A similar study was reported in the (Christensen, 2001).

Antibiotic susceptibility test was performed by using Kirby Bauer method and it was found that *E. faecalis* was highly resistant to ciprofloxacin, vancomycin, erythromycin, ampicillin and linezolid showing no zones of inhibition which is also shown in research studies of Ofokansi et.al., (2009) where *E. faecalis* was susceptible to Gentamicin and Fosfomycin with zones of 8mm and 9mm respectively.

The cur-loaded formulations i.e., F1 and F2 were characterized for their droplet size, polydispersity index and zeta potential which consisted of 1% (10 mg) curcumin and excipients as discussed above. F1 exhibited PDI, zeta potential and zeta sizer values of 0.148, -6.70mv and 30.52nm respectively, while F2 showed PDI, zeta potential and zeta sizer values of 0.159, -5.62 mV and 72.0nm respectively which was also shown in the study conducted by Momin et.al., (2019) where Cur-loaded SEDDS showed zeta size, zeta potential and PDI values of 34.6nm, -4.5 and 0.18 respectively. To address the antibacterial activity of Cur-loaded SEDDS were used. By utilizing drug delivery systems, these barriers can be addressed in order to improve bioavailability of curcumin. A study conducted by Goyal et.al., (2012) used curcumin loaded alginate foams as a delivery system for curcumin against *E. faecalis*. Similarly another study conducted by Rajesh et.al., (2012) used colloidal delivery system for curcumin to enhance its antimicrobial properties.¹ In the current study where the formulations i.e. F1 and F2 consisting of 10mg curcumin were subjected to examine its further activity with addition of different excipients i.e. Captex 355, Chremophor EL, Chremophor EL, Tween 80, Polyethylene glycol (PEG)400, Caprylic acid, where in the study of Varenne, et.al., (2015) only PEGs and Tween 80 were loaded in the formulations.

In order to determine the antibacterial activity of Cur-loaded SEDDS F1 and F2, agar well-diffusion method was used which resulted in 12mm and 14mm of zones of inhibition, respectively. Furthermore, through broth microdilution method, where F1 showed MIC of 0.3 mg/mL and F2 showed MIC of 0.15 mg/mL. As per result of this

study MBC value was same as MIC, which showed the bactericidal nature of Cur-loaded SEDDS at 0.3 mg/mL and 0.15 mg/mL. The MIC values of Cur-loaded SEDDS against *E. faecalis* reported by Ricucci et. al., (2012), was almost the same (0.6 mg/mL and 0.16 mg/mL).

Dimethyl sulfoxide has an antimicrobial activity due to which, different dilutions of DMSO/distilled water were made in order to examine the concentration at which it has no activity against *E. faecalis*. DMSO was found to have no activity against *E. faecalis*. Similar study by Christensen et. al., (2021) has recently been conducted where DMSO doesn't have any effect on the growth of *E. faecalis*.

To determine antibiofilm activity of F1 and F2 *E. faecalis* biofilm was created in 96 well flat bottom plate using LB broth and kept for 24hrs. following the protocol generated by Khan et. al., (2019) and Gunes et. al., (2016) where the protocol was used for production of *Candida* biofilm but in current it was for the production *E. faecalis* biofilm.

Biofilm inhibition by cur-loaded SEDDS was determined against *E. faecalis* on the acrylic dental denture. F1 inhibited 66%, 67.5% and 71.2% biofilm formed by strong (*E. FBF1*), moderate (*E. FBF2*) and weak (*E. FBF3*) isolate of *E. faecalis* whereas F2 inhibited 66.9%, 68.9%, and 72.6% biofilm formed by strong (*E. FBF3*), moderate (*E. FBF2*) and weak (*E. FBF1*) isolate of *E. faecalis* at highest concentration of curcumin. High rate of inhibition was observed at high concentration as compared to the low concentration of F1 and F2, which slightly showed difference in inhibition of biofilm of up to 70% where there was no strength i.e., strong, moderate and weak categories mentioned in terms of identifying the *E. faecalis* strains (Coico RJCpim, 2006).

Finally, in this study, the cytotoxicity of F1 and F2 was determined to know how much hemolysis F1 and F2 cause to assure it can be further used as a therapeutic agent with low cytotoxicity by using photo spectrometer following photometry protocol at 570nm, where the average percentage did not exceed the toxic level which was 2.49% for F1 and 2.58% for F2. The level of toxicity of a compound was compared with the permissible level of cytotoxicity of phenolic compound which should not exceed 5% mention in the study of Mechmechani et. al., (2021).

Best activity was shown by both the formulations against weak biofilm producer as compared to moderate and high biofilm producing samples as shown in the results section. It was found that inhibition capacity of Cur-loaded SEDDS reduced with the reduction in concentration.

Conclusions

The present study investigated the feasibility of using an herbal compound to prevent dental caries caused by *E. faecalis*. The results revealed that curcumin-loaded self-emulsifying drug delivery system (SEDDS) formulations possess potential antibacterial activity against *E. faecalis* strains isolated from dental caries. Therefore, it can be inferred that curcumin-loaded SEDDS may serve as a viable alternative to conventional antibacterials for treating biofilm-producing strains of *E. faecalis*.

References:

Brown, D., Brown, N., Cookson, B., Duckworth, G., Farrington, M., French, G., et al. (2006). National glycopeptide-resistant enterococcal bacteraemia surveillance

- Working Group report to the Department of Health—August 2004. *Journal of Hospital Infection*, 62:1-27.
- Calderaro, A., Maugeri, A., Magazù, S., Laganà, G., Navarra, M., & Barreca, D. (2021). Molecular Basis of Interactions between the Antibiotic Nitrofurantoin and Human Serum Albumin: A Mechanism for the Rapid Drug Blood Transportation. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 22(16):8740.
- Christensen, K. L., Pedersen, G. P., & Kristensen, H. G. (2001). Preparation of redispersible dry emulsions by spray drying. *International Journal of Pharmaceutics*, 212(2):187-94.
- Cogulu, D., Atac, U., Oncag, O., Aksoy, S., & Eronat, C. (2007). Detection of *Enterococcus faecalis* in necrotic teeth root canals by culture and polymerase chain reaction methods. *European Journal of Dentistry*, 1(04):216-21.
- Coico, R. (2006). Gram staining. *Current Protocols in Microbiology*, Appendix 3C.
- Engelbert, M., Mylonakis, E., Ausubel, F. M., Calderwood, S. B., & Gilmore, M. S. (2004). Contribution of gelatinase, serine protease, and *fsr* to the pathogenesis of *Enterococcus faecalis* endophthalmitis. *Infection and Immunity*, 72(6):3628-33.
- Govindarajan, D. K., Meghanathan, Y., Sivaramakrishnan, M., Kothandan, R., Muthusamy, A., Seviour, T. W., & Kandaswamy, K. (2022). *Enterococcus faecalis* thrives in dual-species biofilm models under iron-rich conditions. *Archives of Microbiology*, 204(12):710.
- Goyal, U., Arora, R., & Aggarwal, G. (2012). Formulation design and evaluation of a self-microemulsifying drug delivery system of lovastatin. *Acta Pharmaceutica*, 62(3):357-70.
- Gunes, H., Gulen, D., Mutlu, R., Gumus, A., Tas, T., & Topkaya, A. E. (2016). Antibacterial effects of curcumin: an in vitro minimum inhibitory concentration study. *Toxicology and Industrial Health*, 32(2):246-50.
- Hederstedt, L. (2022). *Enterococcus faecalis* NADH Peroxidase-Defective Mutants Stain Falsely in Colony Zymogram Assay for Extracellular Electron Transfer to Ferric Ions. *Microorganisms*, 11(1):106.
- Hegge, A. B., Andersen, T., Melvik, J. E., Bruzell, E., Kristensen, S., & Tønnesen, H. H. (2011). Formulation and bacterial phototoxicity of curcumin loaded alginate foams for wound treatment applications. *Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 100(1):174-85.
- Jett, B. D., Huycke, M. M., & Gilmore, M. S. (1994). Virulence of enterococci. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*, 7(4):462-78.
- Julianto, T., Yuen, K. H., & Noor, A. M. (2000). Improved bioavailability of vitamin E with a self-emulsifying formulation. *International Journal of Pharmaceutics*, 200(1):53-7.
- · Khan, M., Ali, M., Shah, W., Shah, A., & Yasinzi, M. M. (2019). Curcumin-loaded self-emulsifying drug delivery system (cu-SEDDS) for cutaneous leishmaniasis. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 103(18):7481-90.
- Kühn, I., Iversen, A., Burman, L. G., Olsson-Liljequist, B., Franklin, A., Finn, M., et al. (2003). Comparison of enterococcal populations in animals, humans, and the environment—a European study. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 88(2-3):133-45.

- Madsen, J. S., Burmølle, M., Hansen, L. H., & Sørensen, S. J. (2012). The interconnection between biofilm formation and horizontal gene transfer. *Pathogens and Disease*, 65(2):183-95.
- Mechmechani, S., Gharsallaoui, A., Fadel, A., El Omari, K., Khelissa, S., Hamze, M., & Chihib, N. E. (2022). Microencapsulation of carvacrol as an efficient tool to fight *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Enterococcus faecalis* biofilms. *PLoS ONE*, 17(7):e0270200.
- Mohamed, J. A., & Murray, B. E. (2005). Lack of correlation of gelatinase production and biofilm formation in a large collection of *Enterococcus faecalis* isolates. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, 43(10):5405-7.
- Ofokansi, K. C., Chukwu, K. I., & Ugwuanyi, S. I. (2009). The use of liquid self-microemulsifying drug delivery systems for the delivery of griseofulvin. *Drug Development and Industrial Pharmacy*, 35(2):185-91.
- Rajesh, S., Koshi, E., Philip, K., & Mohan, A. (2011). Antimicrobial photodynamic therapy: An overview. *Journal of Indian Society of Periodontology*, 15(4):323.
- Rams, T. E., Feik, D., Mortensen, J. E., Degener, J. E., & van Winkelhoff, A. J. (2013). Antibiotic susceptibility of periodontal *Enterococcus faecalis*. *Journal of Periodontology*, 84(7):1026-33.
- Reiner, K. J. (2010). Catalase test protocol. *American Society for Microbiology*, 1-6.
- Reynaud af Geijerstam, A., Culak, R., Molenaar, L., Chattaway, M., Røslie, E., Peciuliene, V., et al. (2007). Comparative analysis of virulence determinants of Finnish and Lithuanian endodontic *Enterococcus faecalis* isolates. *Oral Microbiology and Immunology*, 22(2):87-94.
- Ricucci, D., & Siqueira, J. F., Jr. (2010). Biofilms and apical periodontitis: study of prevalence and association with clinical and histopathologic findings. *Journal of Endodontics*, 36(8):1277-88.
- Rukayadi, Y., Han, S., Yong, D., & Hwang, J. K. (2010). In vitro antibacterial activity of panduratin A against enterococci clinical isolates. *Biological and Pharmaceutical Bulletin*, 33(9):1489-93.
- Sedgley, C., Nagel, A., Dahlén, G., Reit, C., & Molander, A. (2006). Real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction and culture analyses of *Enterococcus faecalis* in root canals. *Journal of Endodontics*, 32(3):173-7.
- Shields, P., & Cathcart, L. (2010). Oxidase test protocol. *American Society for Microbiology*, 1-9.
- Varenne, F., et al. (2015). Standardization and validation of a protocol of zeta potential measurements by electrophoretic light scattering for nanomaterial characterization. *Colloids and Surfaces A*, 486:218-231.
- Wang, L., Dong, M., Zheng, J., Song, Q., Yin, W., & Li, J. (2011). Relationship of biofilm formation and *gelE* gene expression in *Enterococcus faecalis* recovered from root canals. *Journal of Endodontics*, 37(5):631-6.
- Yaneja, N., & Kaur, H. (2016). Insights into newer antimicrobial agents against Gram-negative bacteria. *Microbiology Insights*, 9:MBI-S29459.
- Yeon, K. Y., et al. (2010). Curcumin produces an antihyperalgesic effect via antagonism of TRPV1. *Journal of Dental Research*, 89(2):170-4.
- Zheng, B., & McClements, D. J. (2020). Formulation of more efficacious curcumin delivery systems using colloid science. *Molecules*, 25(12):2791.

Online ISSN

3007-3197

Print ISSN

3007-3189

<http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about>

Zoletti, G. O., Pereira, E. M., Schuenck, R. P., Teixeira, L. M., Siqueira Jr, J. F., & dos Santos, K. R. N. (2011). Characterization of virulence factors and clonal diversity of *Enterococcus faecalis* isolates. *Research in Microbiology*, 162(2):151-8