

Building Conflict Resolution Capacity Through Peer Mediation Among Students Of Grade 8 In Lahore District

Hina Akbar

Lahore College for Women University

Email: hinaakbar48@yahoo.com

Hafiza Gulnaz Fatima*

Lahore College for Women University

Email: gulnazfatima59@yahoo.com

Shaista Ejaz*

Lahore College for Women University

Email: shaista.ejaz@lcwu.edu.pk

ABSTRACT

School-based conflict is a pervasive issue that disrupts the learning environment and hampers students' socio-emotional development. Traditional punitive disciplinary methods often prove ineffective in fostering lasting behavioral change. Peer mediation presents a proactive, restorative alternative, empowering students to resolve conflicts constructively. This study aimed to design, implement, and evaluate a peer mediation program to build conflict resolution capacity among 8th-grade students in public and private schools across Lahore District. A mixed-methods action research design was employed. A sample of 120 Grade 8 students (60 mediators, 60 non-mediators) and 15 teachers from 10 schools (5 public, 5 private) participated. Quantitative data were collected through pre- and post-test questionnaires using the Conflict Resolution Skills Scale (CRSS) and school disciplinary records. Qualitative data were gathered via semi-structured interviews and focus group discussions with mediators, students, and teachers. The intervention involved a 6-week training program for student mediators. Quantitative analysis revealed a statistically significant improvement in conflict resolution skills among peer mediators compared to the control group ($p < .001$). Disciplinary referrals for interpersonal conflicts decreased by 45% in intervention schools. Thematic analysis of qualitative data identified four key themes: 1) Empowerment and Ownership, 2) Shift from Confrontation to Collaboration, 3) Development of Empathy and Emotional Literacy, and 4) Positive Ripple Effects on School Climate. The peer mediation program effectively built significant conflict resolution capacity among 8th-grade students. It fostered a more positive and democratic school climate by reducing reliance on punitive measures and promoting student agency. The study recommends the institutionalization of peer mediation within the national educational policy of Pakistan to cultivate a generation of empathetic and skilled peacemakers.

Keywords: Peer Mediation, Conflict Resolution, Socio-Emotional Learning, School Climate, Restorative Practices, Pakistan, Grade 8

Introduction

The educational landscape in Pakistan, particularly in densely populated urban centers like Lahore, is characterized by diverse student populations with varying socio-economic, cultural, and linguistic backgrounds. This diversity, while a strength, can also be a source of interpersonal conflict among adolescents. Grade 8 students (typically aged 13-14) are navigating a critical developmental stage marked by heightened peer influence, identity formation, and emotional volatility (Steinberg, 2014). Within this context, conflicts over resources, misunderstandings, bullying, and social exclusion are commonplace.

Traditionally, schools in Pakistan have relied on authoritarian and punitive disciplinary approaches to manage student conflict. These methods, often involving reprimands, detentions, or suspensions, focus on blame and punishment rather than understanding and resolution. Research consistently shows that such zero-tolerance policies are largely ineffective; they do not teach students alternative behaviors, can damage teacher-student relationships, and may exacerbate feelings of resentment and alienation (Skiba & Losen, 2016). There is a pressing need to shift from a punitive paradigm to a restorative one that builds students' capacity to manage conflict constructively.

Peer mediation emerges as a powerful, student-centered approach to address this need. It is a structured process where trained student neutrals (the mediators) help their peers in conflict to communicate more effectively, identify underlying interests, and collaboratively reach a mutually acceptable agreement (Jones, 2004). By empowering students to be agents of peace within their own community, peer mediation aligns with the core principles of Socio-Emotional Learning (SEL), which are crucial for academic success and lifelong well-being (CASEL, 2020).

Statement of the Problem

The study design to investigate “Building conflict resolution capacity through peer mediation among students of Grade 8”

Research Objectives

To evaluate the effectiveness of peer mediation in enhancing conflict resolution skills among grade 8 students

To Building conflict resolution capacity through peer mediation among students of Grade 8”

Research Questions

How effective is peer mediation in enhancing conflict resolution skills among grade 8 students?

How to build conflict resolution capacity through peer medication among students of grade 8?

Significance of the Study

This study holds significant implications for various stakeholders:

For Students: It provides them with tangible skills to manage emotions and resolve conflicts, leading to improved peer relationships, reduced stress, and a greater sense of agency and safety.

For Teachers and Administrators: It offers a practical, restorative tool to manage student behavior, freeing up instructional time and contributing to a more positive and productive school environment.

For Policymakers: It provides locally-generated evidence to advocate for the integration of SEL and restorative practices, like peer mediation, into the national curriculum and teacher training programs.

For Researchers: It contributes valuable data to the limited body of literature on SEL interventions in Pakistan and provides a replicable model for similar contexts.

Operational Definitions

Conflict Resolution Capacity: The measurable skills and attitudes possessed by an individual to manage interpersonal disputes constructively, including communication, empathy, problem-solving, and negotiation.

Peer Mediation: A structured process in which trained student volunteers (mediators) facilitate a dialogue between two or more peers in conflict to help them reach a voluntary, mutually agreeable solution.

Grade 8 Students: Adolescents, typically aged 13-14, enrolled in the 8th grade of secondary school in Lahore District.

Literature Review

The efficacy of peer mediation is supported by several robust theoretical frameworks.

Social Learning Theory (Bandura, 1977)

This theory posits that people learn from one another through observation, imitation, and modeling. In peer mediation, student disputants observe and practice pro-social behaviors modeled by their peer mediators, such as active listening and respectful communication. This vicarious learning is often more powerful than adult-led instruction for adolescents, for whom peer influence is paramount.

Constructivist Theory (Piaget, 1954; Vygotsky, 1978)

Constructivism suggests that learners actively construct their own understanding and knowledge through experience. Peer mediation is a quintessential constructivist activity. Students are not passive recipients of a verdict but active participants in constructing their own solution. The mediation process occurs within Vygotsky's "Zone of Proximal Development," where peer mediators scaffold the disputants'

ability to resolve conflict at a level they could not achieve independently.

Restorative Justice Principles

Restorative practices shift the focus from punishment to repairing harm and restoring relationships (Zehr, 2015). Peer mediation is a restorative process as it asks critical questions: Who was harmed? What are the needs of those affected? Whose obligations are these? By facilitating a dialogue to answer these questions, mediation addresses the harm caused by conflict more holistically than punitive measures.

The Nature of Adolescent Conflict and Traditional Disciplinary Responses

Adolescent conflict is a normal part of social development, but its management is crucial. Conflicts at this age often stem from perceived disrespect, gossip, competition, and social status (Laursen & Hafen, 2010). Traditional disciplinary systems, with their focus on retribution, often fail for several reasons. They ignore the underlying causes, deny students a voice, and can reinforce a "street code" where reporting problems to authorities is seen as "snitching," leading to under-reporting and underground retaliation (Skiba & Losen, 2016). This creates a "hidden curriculum" that teaches students that power, not dialogue, resolves disputes.

The Peer Mediation Process: Models and Core Skills

A standard peer mediation session typically follows a series of structured stages (Jones, 2004):

Introduction and Ground Rules: The mediator establishes neutrality, explains the process, and sets rules (e.g., no interrupting, confidentiality).

Storytelling: Each party tells their side of the story without interruption.

Identifying Issues and Interests: The mediator helps distill the core issues and underlying needs or interests from the positional statements.

Generating Options: The disputants brainstorm possible solutions

Evaluating Options and Reaching an Agreement: The parties discuss the feasibility of options and work towards a win-win agreement.

Closing: The agreement is formalized in writing, and the session is concluded. The core skills imparted during training include active listening, "I"-statements, reframing, neutrality, and creative problem-solving.

Empirical Evidence on the Effectiveness of Peer Mediation

A substantial body of international research attests to the benefits of peer mediation programs.

Impact on Student Skills: Studies have consistently found that participation in mediation training significantly improves students' conflict resolution knowledge, attitudes, and self-efficacy (Burrell, Zirbel, & Allen, 2003). Mediators themselves

often show the greatest gains, developing advanced communication and leadership skills.

Impact on School Climate: Research indicates that well-implemented peer mediation programs can lead to a reduction in school-wide violence, bullying, and disciplinary referrals (Johnson & Johnson, 1996). They promote a more caring and collaborative school community by giving students ownership over the social environment.

Long-Term Benefits: The skills learned through peer mediation are transferable to family, community, and future workplace settings, contributing to the development of active, responsible citizens (Jones, 2004).

The Research Gap in the Pakistani Context

While the global evidence is compelling, the cultural translation of such programs is critical. The educational system, societal hierarchies, and cultural norms around conflict in Pakistan are distinct. A few small-scale studies have hinted at the potential of SEL in Pakistan (e.g., Ashraf & Khan, 2018), but a rigorous, mixed-methods investigation into a structured peer mediation program for middle school students is absent. This study is positioned to provide this necessary contextual evidence, exploring not just if peer mediation works in Lahore, but how and why it functions within this specific environment.

Research Methodology

Research Design

This study employed a pragmatic, mixed-methods action research design. The action research cycle (Plan-Act-Observe-Reflect) was used to develop, implement, and refine the peer mediation program in collaboration with the school communities (Kemmis, McTaggart, & Nixon, 2014). The mixed-methods approach allowed for the triangulation of data, providing both quantitative measures of impact and rich qualitative insights into the process and perceptions. An quasi-experimental pre-test/post-test control group design was embedded within the larger action research framework.

Population and Sampling

The target population was all Grade 8 students in public and private schools in Lahore District. A multi-stage sampling technique was used:

School Selection: Purposive sampling was used to select 10 schools (5 public, 5 private) to ensure socio-economic diversity and administrative willingness to participate.

Mediator Selection: From each school, 6 Grade 8 students (3 boys, 3 girls) were selected as peer mediators (Total N=60). They were nominated by teachers and peers based on perceived fairness, respect among peers, and good communication skills, and then provided consent.

Control Group: Another 60 Grade 8 students (6 from each school, matched for gender) were selected to form a non-mediator control group.

Teacher Participants: 15 teachers (from the 10 schools) who served as program facilitators were also included for qualitative data collection.

Research Instruments

Quantitative Instruments

Conflict Resolution Skills Scale (CRSS): A 20-item self-report Likert-scale questionnaire (1=Strongly Disagree to 5=Strongly Agree) was adapted from established scales (e.g., Johnson & Johnson, 1996). It measured dimensions like perspective-taking, problem-solving, and emotion regulation. Its reliability was confirmed in the pilot (Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.84$).

Disciplinary Record Log: A standardized form was used to collect anonymized data from school records on the number of disciplinary referrals specifically for interpersonal conflicts for three months before and three months after the intervention.

Qualitative Instruments

Semi-Structured Interview Guides: Separate guides were developed for peer mediators, disputants, and teachers to explore their experiences, perceived challenges, and observed benefits.

Focus Group Discussion (FGD) Guides: FGDs were conducted with mediator groups to gather collective insights and foster dialogue about the program's impact.

The Intervention: Peer Mediation Program

The 6-week peer mediation program consisted of two phases:

Phase 1: Mediator Training (Weeks 1-4)

The 60 selected mediators underwent 12 sessions (2 per week, 60 minutes each) of intensive training covering:

Session 1-2: Understanding Conflict & Introduction to Mediation.

Session 3-4: Communication Skills I: Active Listening and Paraphrasing.

Session 5-6: Communication Skills II: "I"-Statements and Neutral Language.

Session 7-8: The 6-Stage Mediation Process.

Session 9-10: Role-Plays and Practice Mediations.

Session 11: Ethics, Confidentiality, and When to Refer to an Adult.

Session 12: Certification Ceremony.

Phase 2: Program Implementation and Supervision (Weeks 5-12)

The trained mediators began offering mediation services in their schools. A "Mediation Room" was designated in each school. Teachers provided weekly supervision sessions for mediators to discuss cases and challenges.

Data Collection Procedure

Pre-Test (Week 1): The CRSS was administered to both the mediator and control groups. Baseline disciplinary data was collected.

Intervention (Weeks 1-12): The peer mediation program was conducted as described.

Post-Test (Week 16): The CRSS was re-administered to both groups. Post-intervention disciplinary data was compiled.

Qualitative Data Collection (Weeks 14-15): Individual interviews with 15 mediators, 10 disputants, and 15 teachers were conducted. Four FGDs with mediators were also held. All interactions were audio-recorded and transcribed.

Data Analysis

Quantitative Data: Data from the CRSS and disciplinary logs were analyzed using SPSS (Version 26). Descriptive statistics (mean, standard deviation) were computed. A paired-sample t-test was used to compare pre- and post-test scores within groups, and an independent sample t-test was used to compare the gain scores between the mediator and control groups.

Qualitative Data: Thematic analysis was employed following the process outlined by Braun and Clarke (2006). Transcripts were coded inductively, and codes were grouped into emerging themes that captured the essence of the participants' experiences.

Ethical Considerations

Informed consent was obtained from school principals, parents, and students. Participants were assured of anonymity and confidentiality. It was emphasized that participation was voluntary and that they could withdraw at any time without penalty. The well-being of the students was the paramount concern, with protocols in place to refer severe cases to school counselors.

Findings

This section presents the results of the data analysis, organized by the research questions.

Quantitative Findings

Impact on Conflict Resolution Skills

A paired-sample t-test was conducted to evaluate the impact of the peer mediation training on the mediators' conflict resolution skills. There was a statistically significant increase in CRSS scores from pre-test ($M=2.95$, $SD=0.51$) to post-test ($M=4.12$, $SD=0.43$), $t(59) = 15.82$, $p < .001$.

To compare the growth between mediators and the control group, an independent samples t-test was conducted on the gain scores (post-test score minus pre-test score). The mediators (M gain = 1.17, $SD = 0.45$) showed a significantly greater

improvement than the control group (M gain = 0.08, SD = 0.29); $t(118) = 16.11$, $p < .001$. This confirms that the improvement was a direct result of the intervention and not merely due to maturation.

Table 1: Pre-test and Post-test Scores on the Conflict Resolution Skills Scale (CRSS)

Group	N	Pre-test M (SD)	Post-test M (SD)	Mean Gain	t- value	p- value
Mediators	60	2.95 (0.51)	4.12 (0.43)	1.17	15.82	< .001
Control	60	3.01 (0.49)	3.09 (0.52)	0.08	1.45	0.152

Impact on Disciplinary Referrals

An analysis of school disciplinary records revealed a marked decrease in referrals for interpersonal conflicts in the three months following the intervention compared to the three months prior. The 10 intervention schools collectively saw a 45% reduction in such incidents (from an average of 22 incidents per school to 12 incidents). Schools reported that minor conflicts that would have previously escalated into shouting matches or physical altercations were now being successfully mediated by students.

Table 2: Disciplinary Referrals for Interpersonal Conflict Before and After Intervention

Period	Total Referrals (10 Schools)	Average per School
3 Months Pre-Test	220	22
3 Months Post-Test	121	12.1

Qualitative Findings

Thematic analysis of the interview and FGD transcripts yielded four central themes that illuminate the transformative process of peer mediation.

Theme 1: Empowerment and Ownership of the School Community

A powerful outcome was the sense of agency developed by the mediators. They transitioned from being passive students to active peacemakers.

Mediator Quote (Private School): "Before, when a fight would happen, we would just watch or take sides. Now, we know we can actually do something. We have the power to stop it and help our friends find a solution. It feels amazing."

Online ISSN

3007-3197

Print ISSN

3007-3189

<http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about>

Teacher Quote (Public School): "The change in the mediators themselves is the most remarkable. They walk taller. They have a sense of purpose and responsibility that I don't see in other students."

Theme 2: A Shift from Confrontation to Collaborative Dialogue

Participants consistently reported a change in the language and approach to conflict. The adversarial "you vs. me" dynamic was replaced by a collaborative "us vs. the problem" approach.

Disputant Quote: "We were both so angry we couldn't talk. But the mediators didn't take sides. They made us listen to each other. I heard his side, and I realized it was just a big misunderstanding. We wouldn't have listened if a teacher told us the same thing."

Mediator Quote (Public School): "The hardest part is getting them to stop blaming. We teach them to use 'I feel...' statements. When they do that, the whole fight changes. The other person stops being so defensive."

Theme 3: Development of Empathy and Emotional Literacy

The mediation process forced students to step into each other's shoes. Active listening and paraphrasing requirements built empathy in a way that lectures cannot.

Teacher Quote: "I've noticed students are more thoughtful. They are quicker to consider how their actions might affect others. The program has taught them emotional vocabulary—they can name their feelings instead of just acting them out aggressively."

Mediator Quote (Private School): "In one mediation, a girl started crying when she explained how the rumor made her feel. The other girl had no idea it hurt that much. She apologized sincerely. That wouldn't have happened in the principal's office."

Theme 4: Positive Ripple Effects on the Overall School Climate

The benefits of the program extended beyond individual mediation sessions. It created a cultural shift towards a more respectful and democratic environment.

Teacher Quote: "The general noise level and tension in the corridors have reduced. Students are starting to resolve small issues on their own, using the skills they've seen or learned about. It's created a calmer atmosphere for everyone."

Principal Quote (Public School): "This program has done more for our school discipline in three months than years of punishments. It has built trust between students and between students and staff. We are now looking at how to expand it to other grades."

Discussion and Conclusion

Discussion

This study provides compelling evidence that a well-structured peer mediation program can effectively build significant conflict resolution capacity among Grade 8 students in the context of Lahore District. The findings resonate strongly with existing international literature while offering unique insights from a South Asian perspective. The quantitative results robustly confirm the first and second research questions. The dramatic and statistically significant improvement in the CRSS scores of the mediators, compared to the negligible change in the control group, demonstrates that the training program successfully imparted the intended skills. This aligns with studies by Burrell et al. (2003) and Johnson & Johnson (1996), who found that mediation training is a potent intervention for enhancing socio-emotional competencies. The 45% reduction in disciplinary referrals directly addresses the third research question, corroborating global findings that peer mediation contributes to a safer and less disruptive school environment (Jones, 2004).

The qualitative findings, addressing the fourth research question, illuminate the mechanisms behind these quantitative successes. The themes of **Empowerment, Collaborative Dialogue, Empathy, and Ripple Effects** provide a nuanced understanding of how the program worked. The development of student agency and ownership (Theme 1) is a critical outcome, particularly in a cultural context that often emphasizes top-down authority. By giving students a legitimate voice and role, the program tapped into their intrinsic motivation and sense of responsibility.

The shift from confrontation to collaboration (Theme 2) underscores the power of providing students with an alternative "script" for conflict. The data suggests that students are not inherently confrontational but lack the tools for dialogue. The mediation process provided a safe and structured space to practice these new scripts. Furthermore, the cultivation of empathy (Theme 3) is perhaps the most profound outcome, as it gets to the heart of sustainable conflict resolution. As students learned to listen and see the world from another's perspective, the very nature of their interpersonal relationships began to change.

Finally, the positive impact on the overall school climate (Theme 4) demonstrates the program's systemic value. It moved beyond being a mere "add-on" service and began to transform the school's social ecology, creating a more restorative and democratic culture. This finding is crucial for convincing school administrators of the program's wide-ranging benefits.

Implications of the Study

Practical Implications

For Pakistani Schools: School leaders should strongly consider implementing peer mediation as a core component of their student support and discipline policy. It is a cost-effective, high-impact intervention.

For Teacher Education: Teacher training programs in Pakistan should incorporate modules on restorative practices, SEL, and the facilitation of peer mediation to equip future educators with these essential skills.

For Policy: The Punjab Education Department and the Federal Ministry of Education should consider piloting and scaling up peer mediation programs as part of a national strategy to improve school climate and student well-being.

Theoretical Implications

This study strengthens the argument for applying Social Learning and Constructivist theories in the design of SEL interventions for adolescents. It provides empirical support from a non-Western context, suggesting the cross-cultural validity of these frameworks in fostering pro-social behavior.

Limitations and Recommendations for Future Research

Despite its robust findings, this study has limitations. The sample, while diverse, was confined to Lahore District, limiting generalizability to rural areas of Pakistan. The study's timeframe was also relatively short; a longitudinal study tracking mediators and disputants over several years would provide valuable data on the long-term retention of skills and impact on life outcomes.

Based on these limitations, future research should:

Replicate this study in rural and other urban settings across Pakistan.

Investigate the long-term impact of peer mediation on academic performance, school dropout rates, and civic engagement.

Explore the specific challenges and success factors in sustaining peer mediation programs in low-resource public school settings.

Conduct a cost-benefit analysis of peer mediation compared to traditional disciplinary systems.

Conclusion

In conclusion, this research unequivocally demonstrates that peer mediation is a powerful and viable strategy for building conflict resolution capacity among Grade 8 students in Lahore. It successfully equips students with critical life skills, reduces school-level conflict, and fosters a more positive, empathetic, and democratic school climate. By empowering students to become architects of their own social harmony, peer mediation moves beyond the limitations of punitive discipline and aligns education with the broader goal of nurturing peaceful and productive citizens. The findings offer a compelling blueprint for educational stakeholders in Pakistan and similar contexts to invest in restorative, student-centered approaches to conflict management.

References

- Ashraf, M., & Khan, S. (2018). Integrating social-emotional learning in Pakistani schools: Challenges and opportunities. *Journal of Educational Research and Practice*, 8(1), 45-59.
- Bandura, A. (1977). *Social learning theory*. Prentice Hall.
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77-101.
- Burrell, N. A., Zirbel, C. S., & Allen, M. (2003). Evaluating peer mediation outcomes in educational settings: A meta-analytic review. *Conflict Resolution Quarterly*,

Online ISSN

3007-3197

Print ISSN

3007-3189

<http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about>

21(1), 7-26.

Collaborative for Academic, Social, and Emotional Learning (CASEL). (2020). What is SEL? <https://casel.org/what-is-sel/>

Johnson, D. W., & Johnson, R. T. (1996). Conflict resolution and peer mediation programs in elementary and secondary schools: A review of the research. *Review of Educational Research*, 66(4), 459-506.

Jones, T. S. (2004). Conflict resolution education: The field, the findings, and the future. *Conflict Resolution Quarterly*, 22(1-2), 233-267.

Kemmis, S., McTaggart, R., & Nixon, R. (2014). The action research planner: Doing critical participatory action research. Springer.

Laursen, B., & Hafen, C. A. (2010). Future directions in the study of close relationships: Conflict is bad (except when it's not). *Social Development*, 19(4), 858-872.

Piaget, J. (1954). The construction of reality in the child. Basic Books.

Skiba, R. J., & Losen, D. J. (2016). From reaction to prevention: Turning the page on school discipline. *American Educator*, 39(4), 4.

Steinberg, L. (2014). Age of opportunity: Lessons from the new science of adolescence. Houghton Mifflin Harcourt.

Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Harvard University Press.

Zehr, H. (2015). *The little book of restorative justice: Revised and updated*. Good Books.